## UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

### **FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934** 

For the quarterly period ended July 31, 2006

OR

□ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 000-23211

#### CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

03-0338873

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

25 Greens Hill Lane, Rutland, Vermont

(Address of principal executive offices)

05701

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (802) 775-0325

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  $\boxtimes$  No  $\square$ 

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check One):

Large accelerated filer □

Accelerated filer ⊠

Non-accelerated filer □

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes 🗆 No 🗵

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of August 31, 2006:

Class A Common Stock 24,273,330 Class B Common Stock 988,200

#### PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

#### ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

# CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited) (in thousands)

		April 30, 2006		July 31, 2006
ASSETS	·			
CURRENT ASSETS:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	7,429	\$	6,667
Restricted cash		72		72
Accounts receivable - trade, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$661 and \$1,060		56,269		61,368
Notes receivable - officers/employees		87		87
Refundable income taxes		_		911
Prepaid expenses		5,126		5,719
Inventory		2,975		2,999
Deferred income taxes		5,034		4,988
Other current assets		1,982		1,952
Total current assets		78,974		84,763
Property, plant and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization of \$388,808 and \$405,203		481,284		494,951
Goodwill		171,258		171,602
Intangible assets, net		2,762		2,570
Restricted cash		17,887		13,686
Notes receivable - officers/employees		916		916
Investments in unconsolidated entities		44,491		45,262
Net assets under contractual obligation		937		319
Other non-current assets		12,602		12,815
		732,137		742,121
	·			
	\$	811,111	\$	826,884

# CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Continued) (Unaudited)

(in thousands, except for share and per share data)

		April 30, 2006		July 31, 2006
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY				
CURD PART LADIT INTEG				
CURRENT LIABILITIES:				
Current maturities of long-term debt	\$	527	\$	1,412
Current maturities of capital lease obligations		1,061		1,080
Accounts payable		46,364		46,309
Accrued payroll and related expenses		6,818		5,208
Accrued interest		6,650		12,405
Accrued income taxes		200		—
Current accrued capping, closure and post-closure costs		4,771		3,135
Other accrued liabilities		28,374		31,256
Total current liabilities		94,765		100,805
Long-term debt, less current maturities		452,720		460,512
Capital lease obligations, less current maturities		1,747		1,477
Accrued capping, closure and post-closure costs, less current portion		23,245		24,933
Deferred income taxes		6,957		6,244
Other long-term liabilities		11,757		11,595
Ü		Í		ĺ
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES				
Series A redeemable, convertible preferred stock - Authorized - 55,750 shares, issued and outstanding - 53,000 as of				
April 30, 2006 and July 31, 2006, liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share plus accrued but unpaid dividends		70,430		71,311
- <del> </del>		,		, 1,0 11
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY:				
Class A common stock - Authorized - 100,000,000 shares, \$0.01 par value; issued and outstanding - 24,185,000 and				
24,273,000 shares as of April 30, 2006 and July 31, 2006, respectively		242		243
Class B common stock - Authorized - 1,000,000 shares, \$0.01 par value, 10 votes per share, issued and outstanding -		2.2		2.0
988.000 shares		10		10
Accumulated other comprehensive income		159		97
Additional paid-in capital		274,297		274,928
Accumulated deficit		(125,218)		(125,271)
Total stockholders' equity		149,490		150.007
Total decanoides equity		149,490		150,007
	\$	811,111	•	826,884
	φ	011,111	φ	020,004

# CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Unaudited)

(in thousands)

		onths Ended lv 31.
	2005	2006
Revenues	\$ 132,000	\$ 143,519
Operating expenses:		
Cost of operations	85,686	95,735
General and administration	17,218	21,179
Depreciation and amortization	16,134	17,942
	119,038	134,856
Operating income	12,962	8,663
Other expense/(income), net:		
Interest income	(167)	(329)
Interest expense	7,517	9,833
(Income) loss from equity method investments	70	(123)
Other income	(48)	(55)
Other expense, net	7,372	9,326
(Loss) income before income taxes	5,590	(663)
(Benefit) provision for income taxes	2,483	(610)
Net (loss) income	3,107	(53)
Preferred stock dividend	850	881
Net (loss) income available to common stockholders	\$ 2,257	\$ (934)

# CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued) (Unaudited)

(in thousands, except for per share data)

	Three Months Ended July 31.			ded
		2005		2006
Earnings Per Share:				
Basic:				
Net (loss) income per common share available to common stockholders	\$	0.09	\$	(0.04)
	-			
Basic weighted average common shares outstanding		24,852		25,236
		-		<u> </u>
Diluted:				
Net (loss) income per common share available to common stockholders	\$	0.09	\$	(0.04)
Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding		25,218		25,236

### CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited) (in thousands)

	Three Month July 3	
	2005	2006
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:		
Net (loss) income	\$ 3,107	\$ (53)
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities -		
Depreciation and amortization	16,134	17,942
Depletion of landfill operating lease obligations	1,428	1,924
(Income) loss from equity method investments	70	(123)
(Gain) loss on sale of equipment	99	(256)
Stock-based compensation		134
Excess tax benefit on the exercise of stock options	_	(141)
Deferred income taxes	1,721	(1,135)
Changes in assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions and divestitures -		
Accounts receivable	(4,924)	(4,922)
Accounts payable	1,997	(55)
Other assets and liabilities	2,989	5,078
	19,514	18,446
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	22,621	18,393
	<del></del>	<u> </u>
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:		
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(1,044)	(632)
Additions to property, plant and equipment - growth	(14,941)	(8,487)
- maintenance	(19,675)	(23,783)
Payments on landfill operating lease contracts	(428)	(618)
Proceeds from sale of equipment	324	459
Restricted cash from revenue bond issuance		4,419
Investment in unconsolidated entities	_	(621)
Proceeds from assets under contractual obligation	314	618
Net Cash Used In Investing Activities	(35,450)	(28,645)
The Call Code II II Tooling Tool The	(33,430)	(20,043)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:		
Proceeds from long-term borrowings	35.955	139,200
Principal payments on long-term debt	(24,931)	(130,751)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	(24,931)	900
Excess tax benefit on the exercise of stock options	_	141
Net Cash Provided by Financing Activities	11.024	9,490
		- ,
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(1,805)	(762)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	8,578	7,429
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 6,773	\$ 6,667

# CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Continued) (Unaudited) (in thousands)

	Three Months Ended July 31,		
	 2005		2006
Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information:			
Cash paid during the period for -			
Interest	\$ 276	\$	3,631
Income taxes, net of refunds	\$ 528	\$	656
Supplemental Disclosures of Non-Cash Investing and Financing Activities:			
Summary of entities acquired in purchase business combinations -			
Fair value of assets acquired	\$ 1,129	\$	699
Cash paid, net	(1,044)		(632)
Liabilities assumed and holdbacks to seller	\$ 85	\$	67

### CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

(In thousands, except for per share data)

#### 1. ORGANIZATION

The consolidated balance sheets of Casella Waste Systems, Inc. (the "Parent") and Subsidiaries (collectively, the "Company") as of April 30, 2006 and July 31, 2006, the consolidated statements of operations for the three months ended July 31, 2005 and 2006 and the consolidated statements of cash flows for the three months ended July 31, 2005 and 2006 are unaudited. In the opinion of management, such financial statements include all adjustments (which include normal recurring and nonrecurring adjustments) necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position, results of operations, and cash flows for the periods presented. The consolidated financial statements presented herein should be read in conjunction with the Company's audited consolidated financial statements as of and for the twelve months ended April 30, 2006 included as part of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended April 30, 2006 (the "Annual Report"). The results for the three months ended July 31, 2006 may not be indicative of the results that may be expected for the fiscal year ending April 30, 2007.

#### 2. RECLASSIFICATION

Effective May 1, 2006, the Company began recording (gain) loss on sale of equipment as a component of cost of operations. Previously this had been recorded as a component of other income. Accordingly, (gain) loss on sale of equipment for the three months ended July 31, 2005 has been reclassified to conform to current year reporting.

#### 3. BUSINESS COMBINATIONS

During the three months ended July 31, 2006, the Company acquired five solid waste hauling operations. These transactions were in exchange for total consideration of \$699 including \$632 in cash and \$67 in liabilities assumed. During the three months ended July 31, 2005, the Company acquired three solid waste hauling operations and also recorded additional expenditures related to landfill development for a landfill closure project acquired in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2005. These transactions were in exchange for total consideration of \$1,062 including \$1,044 in cash and \$18 in liabilities assumed. The operating results of these businesses are included in the consolidated statements of operations from the dates of acquisition. The purchase prices have been allocated to the net assets acquired based on their fair values at the dates of acquisition including the value of non-compete agreements with the residual amounts allocated to goodwill.

The following unaudited pro forma combined information shows the results of the Company's operations as though each of the acquisitions made in the three months ended July 31, 2005 and 2006 had been completed as of May 1, 2005.

	Three Months Ended July 31,						
	2005		2006				
Revenue	\$ 132,354	\$	143,568				
Net (loss) income	\$ 3,144	\$	(47)				
Diluted net income per common share	\$ 0.12	\$	0.00				

The foregoing pro forma results have been prepared for comparative purposes only and are not necessarily indicative of the actual results of operations had the acquisitions taken place as of May 1, 2005 or the results

of future operations of the Company. Furthermore, such pro forma results do not give effect to all cost savings or incremental costs that may occur as a result of the integration and consolidation of the completed acquisitions.

#### 4. GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The following table shows the activity and balances related to goodwill from April 30, 2006 through July 31, 2006:

	1	North Eastern Region	 South Eastern Region	Central Region	Western Region	R	FCR ecycling	Total
Balance, April 30, 2006	\$	25,327	\$ 31,645	\$ 31,106	\$ 55,696	\$	27,484	\$ 171,258
Acquisitions		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	 236	 104		4	344
Balance, July 31, 2006	\$	25,327	\$ 31,645	\$ 31,342	\$ 55,800	\$	27,488	\$ 171,602

Intangible assets at April 30, 2006 and July 31, 2006 consist of the following:

	Covenants not to compete	Total	
Balance, April 30, 2006	-		
Intangible assets	\$ 16,654	\$ 920	\$ 17,574
Less accumulated amortization	(14,771)	(41)	(14,812)
	\$ 1,883	\$ 879	\$ 2,762
Balance, July 31, 2006			
Intangible assets	\$ 16,737	\$ 920	\$ 17,657
Less accumulated amortization	(15,037)	(50)	(15,087)
	\$ 1,700	\$ 870	\$ 2,570

Intangible amortization expense for the three months ended July 31, 2005 and 2006 was \$323 and \$278, respectively. The intangible amortization expense estimated as of July 31, 2006, for the five years following fiscal year 2006 is as follows:

2007		2008		2009		2010		2011	1
\$ 8:	4	\$ 5	60	\$	377	\$	261	\$	170

#### 5. NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections (SFAS No. 154) which replaces APB Opinion No. 20, Accounting Changes (APB No. 20), and SFAS No. 3, Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements — An Amendment of APB Opinion No. 28. SFAS No. 154 provides guidance on the accounting for and reporting of accounting changes and error corrections. Specifically, this statement requires "retrospective application" of the direct effect for a voluntary change in accounting principle to prior periods' financial statements, if it is practicable to do so. SFAS No. 154 also strictly redefines the term "restatement" to mean the correction of an error by revising previously issued financial statements. SFAS No. 154 replaces APB No. 20, which requires that most voluntary changes in accounting principle be recognized by including in net income of the period of the change the cumulative

effect of changing to the new accounting principle. The adoption of SFAS No. 154 effective May 1,2006 had no impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

On July 13, 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* (FIN No. 48.) FIN No. 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*. FIN No. 48 requires a company to evaluate whether the tax positions taken by a company will more likely than not be sustained upon examination by the appropriate taxing authority. FIN No. 48 also provides guidance on how a company should measure the amount of benefit that the company is to recognize in its financial statements. Under FIN No. 48, a company should also classify a liability for unrecognized tax benefits as current to the extent the company anticipates making a payment within one year. FIN No. 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. FIN No. 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company is currently evaluating the impact this statement will have on its financial position and results of operations.

#### 6. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The New Hampshire Superior Court in Grafton County, NH (the "Superior Court") ruled on February 1, 1999 that the Town of Bethlehem, NH (the "Town") could not enforce an ordinance purportedly prohibiting expansion of the Company's landfill owned by its subsidiary North Country Environmental Services, Inc. ("NCES"), at least with respect to 51 acres of NCES's 105 acre parcel, based upon certain existing land-use approvals. As a result, NCES was able to construct and operate "Stage II, Phase II" of the landfill. In May 2001, the New Hampshire Supreme Court (the "Supreme Court") denied the Town's appeal. Notwithstanding the Supreme Court's 2001 ruling, the Town continued to assert jurisdiction to conduct unqualified site plan review with respect to Stage III (which is within the 51 acres) and further stated that the Town's height ordinance and building permit process may apply to Stage III. On September 12, 2001, the Company filed a petition for, among other things, declaratory relief. On December 4, 2001, the Town filed an answer to the Company's petition asserting counterclaims seeking, among other things, authorization to assert site plan review over Stage III, which commenced operation in December 2000, as well as the methane gas utilization/leachate handling facility operating in connection with Stage III, and also an order declaring that an ordinance prohibiting landfills applies to Stage IV expansion. The trial on these claims was held in December 2002 and on April 24, 2003, the Grafton Superior Court upheld the Town's 1992 ordinance preventing the location or expansion of any landfill, ruling that the ordinance may be applied to any part of Stage IV that goes beyond the 51 acres; ruling that the Town's height ordinance is valid within the 51 acres; upholding the Town's right to require Site Plan Review, except that there are certain areas within the Town's Site Plan Review regulation that are preempted; and ruling that the methane gas utilization/leachate handling facility is not subject to the Town's ordinance forbidding incinerators. On May 27, 2003, NCES appealed the Superior Court's ruling to the Supreme Court. On March 1, 2004, the Supreme Court issued an opinion affirming that NCES has all of the local approvals that it needs to operate within the 51 acres and that the Town cannot therefore require site plan review for landfill development within the 51 acres. The Supreme Court's opinion left open for further review the question of whether the Town's 1992 ordinance can prevent expansion of the facility outside the 51 acres, remanding to the Superior Court four issues, including two defenses raised by NCES as grounds for invalidating the 1992 ordinance. On April 19, 2005, the Superior Court judge granted NCES' motion for partial summary judgment, ruling that the 1992 ordinance is invalid because it distinguishes between "users" of land rather than "uses" of land, and that a state statute preempts the Town's ability to issue a building permit for the methane gas utilization/leachate handling facility to the extent the Town's regulations relate to design, installation, construction, modification or operation. After this ruling, the Town amended its counterclaim to request a declaration that another zoning ordinance it enacted in March of 2005 is lawful and prevents the expansion of the landfill outside of the 51 acres. In the fall of 2005 NCES and the Town engaged in private mediation in an effort to resolve the disputes between them, but the mediation was unsuccessful. NCES filed a motion with the court on December 15, 2005 for partial summary judgment asserting six different arguments challenging the lawfulness of the March 2005

amendment to the zoning ordinance, and the town filed a cross-motion on January 13, 2006 for partial summary judgment on the same issue. On February 13, 2006, NCES filed its objection with the Grafton Superior Court to the Town's cross-motion for summary judgment. In April 2006, the court ruled against NCES on the applicability of all six arguments challenging the lawfulness of the March 2005 ordinance and NCES filed a motion for reconsideration. On May 30, 2006, the judge issued a ruling on the motion for reconsideration, reversing her prior ruling with respect to two of the six arguments she ruled earlier to be invalid, thereby preserving such arguments for trial. Additionally, several issues related to the March 2005 amendment that were not the subject of such motions remain to be decided by a trial, in addition to the issues remanded by the Supreme Court, which include whether the Town can impose site plan review requirements outside the 51 acres, and whether the 1992 ordinance contravenes the general welfare of the community. On June 6, 2006, the Town rejected a settlement proposal from NCES at a special town meeting. A conference was held on June 30, 2006 with the judge to establish a discovery schedule and a trial date has been set for the second quarter of calendar year 2007.

The Company offers no prediction of the outcome of these proceedings. However, there can be no guarantee that the Company will prevail or that any judgments against the Company, if sustained on appeal, will not have a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition or results of operations.

On March 2, 2005, the Company's subsidiary Casella Waste Management of Pennsylvania, Inc. ("CWMPA") was issued an Administrative Order by the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection ("DEP") revoking CWMPA's transfer station permit for its 75-ton-per-day transfer station located in Wellsboro, Pennsylvania and ordering that the site be closed. The DEP based its decision on certain alleged violations related to recordkeeping and site management over a five-year period. On March 10, 2005, CWMPA appealed the Order to the State's Environmental Hearing Board ("EHB"). The Pennsylvania Attorney General's Office also conducted a criminal investigation of the allegations. On March 17, 2005, CWMPA and the DEP mutually agreed to a Supersedeas Order approved by the EHB which superseded the March 2, 2005 DEP Order, stating that CWMPA agreed to (i) voluntarily cease operations at the transfer station until May 16, 2005; (ii) relocate its hauling company before May 16, 2005; and (iii) develop a Management and Operation Plan for the transfer station by May 16, 2005. On May 17, 2005, the EHB judge extended the Supersedeas Order until June 10, 2005 and authorized the transfer station to resume operations upon completion of the relocation of the hauling company and receipt of a permit modification related to the weighing of bag waste from individual customers. CWMPA satisfied the conditions and recommenced operations at the transfer station on May 20, 2005. On June 9, 2005, CWMPA and the DEP filed a stipulation with the EHB withdrawing and voiding the March 2, 2005 Order revoking the permit, while reserving the DEP's right to seek civil penalties and the Company's right to defend against any such penalties. On March 9, 2006, the Company reached an agreement with the Attorney General's Office that resolved its investigation with a misdemeanor fine in the amount of \$35,000 plus a \$15,000 contribution to a non-profit environmental organization. The Company also reached a settlement with the DEP whereby the Company agreed t

The Company is a defendant in certain other lawsuits alleging various claims incurred in the ordinary course of business, none of which, either individually or in the aggregate, it believes are material to its business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

#### 7. ENVIRONMENTAL LIABILITIES

The Company is subject to liability for any environmental damage, including personal injury and property damage, that its solid waste, recycling and power generation facilities may cause to neighboring property owners, particularly as a result of the contamination of drinking water sources or soil, possibly including damage resulting from conditions existing before the Company acquired the facilities. The Company may also be subject to liability for similar claims arising from off-site environmental contamination caused by pollutants or hazardous substances if the Company or its predecessors arrange to transport, treat or dispose of

those materials. Any substantial liability incurred by the Company arising from environmental damage could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations. The Company is not presently aware of any situations that it expects would have a material adverse impact on its results of operations or financial condition.

#### 8. EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following table sets forth the numerator and denominator used in the computation of earnings per share:

	Three Months Ended July 31,			
		2005		2006
Numerator:				
Net (loss) income	\$	3,107	\$	(53)
Less: preferred stock dividends		(850)		(881)
Net (loss) income available to common stockholders	\$	2,257	\$	(934)
Denominator:				
Number of shares outstanding, end of period:				
Class A common stock		23,873		24,273
Class B common stock		988		988
Effect of weighted average shares outstanding during period		(9)		(25)
Weighted average number of common shares used in basic EPS		24,852		25,236
Impact of potentially dilutive securities:				
Dilutive effect of options and contingent stock		366		_
Weighted average number of common shares used in diluted EPS		25,218		25,236

For the three months ended July 31, 2005 and 2006, 6,843 and 8,934 common stock equivalents related to options and redeemable convertible preferred stock, respectively, were excluded from the calculation of dilutive shares since the inclusion of such shares would be anti-dilutive.

#### 9. LONG TERM DEBT

On July 25, 2006, the Company amended its existing senior credit facility utilizing the accordion feature and borrowed an additional \$100,000 in the form of an increase of \$10,000 in the revolving facility, under terms consistent with the existing credit facility, and a senior secured term B loan in the principal amount of \$90,000. The proceeds from the issuance of the term B loan were utilized to repay outstanding revolver borrowings under the credit facility. The term B loan matures on April 28, 2010 and bears interest at LIBOR plus 2.00%, with annual principal payments of \$900 for three years, beginning July 31, 2007, with the remaining principal balance due at maturity. The interest rate drops to LIBOR plus 1.75% after the first six months, as long as the consolidated total funded debt to consolidated EBITDA ratio is below 4.75 times.

#### 10. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Comprehensive income is defined as the change in net assets of a business enterprise during a period from transactions generated from non-owner sources. It includes all changes in equity during a period except those resulting from investments by owners and distributions to owners. Accumulated other comprehensive income included in the accompanying balance sheets consists of changes in the fair value of the Company's interest rate swap and commodity hedge agreements. Also included in accumulated other comprehensive income is the change in fair value of certain securities classified as available for sale as well as the

Company's portion of the change in the fair value of commodity hedge agreements of the Company's equity method investment, US GreenFiber, LLC ("GreenFiber").

Comprehensive (loss) income for the three months ended July 31, 2005 and 2006 is as follows:

	Three Months Ended July 31,						
	2005	2006					
Net (loss) income	\$ 3,107	\$ (53)					
Other comprehensive loss	(26	) (62)					
Comprehensive (loss) income	\$ 3,081	\$ (115)					

The components of other comprehensive (loss) for the three months ended July 31, 2005 and 2006 are shown as follows:

					Three	e Months E	nded .	July 31,				
			- 1	2005					2	2006		
	Gross			Tax effect		Net of Tax		Gross	Tax effect		Net of Tax	
Changes in fair value of marketable securities during the period	\$	(49)	\$	(17)	\$	(32)	\$	17	\$	6	\$	11
Change in fair value of interest rate swaps and commodity hedges												
during period		227		84		143		8		3		5
Reclassification to earnings for interest rate swap contracts		(137)				(137)		(130)		(52)		(78)
	\$	41	\$	67	\$	(26)	\$	(105)	\$	(43)	\$	(62)

#### 11. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

The Company's strategy to hedge against fluctuations in the commodity prices of recycled paper is to enter into hedges to mitigate the variability in cash flows generated from the sales of recycled paper at floating prices, resulting in a fixed price being received from these sales. The Company is party to thirty-four commodity hedge contracts as of July 31, 2006. These contracts expire between August 2006 and November 2008. The Company has evaluated these hedges and believes that these instruments qualify for hedge accounting pursuant to SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, as amended. As of July 31, 2006 the fair value of these hedges was an obligation of \$921, with the net amount (net of taxes of \$373) recorded as an unrealized loss in accumulated other comprehensive income.

The Company is party to three separate interest rate swap agreements with three banks for a notional amount of \$75,000, which effectively fix the interest index rate on the entire notional amount at 4.4% from May 4, 2006 through May 5, 2008. These agreements are specifically designated to interest payments under the Company's term B loan and are accounted for as effective cash flow hedges pursuant to SFAS No. 133. As of July 31, 2006, the fair value of these swaps was \$1,090, with the net amount (net of taxes of \$441) recorded as an unrealized gain in accumulated other comprehensive income.

On August 1, 2006, the Company entered into three separate interest rate zero-cost collars for a notional amount of \$80,000. The collars have an interest index rate cap of 6.00% and an interest index rate floor of approximately 4.48% and will be effective from November 6, 2006 through May 5, 2009. These agreements will be specifically designated to interest payments under the revolving credit facility and will be accounted for as effective cash flow hedges pursuant to SFAS No. 133.

#### 12. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

Effective May 1, 2006, the Company adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment* ("SFAS No. 123(R)"). SFAS No. 123(R) establishes accounting for stock based awards exchanged for employee services. The Company previously accounted for these awards under the recognition and

measurement principles of APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees ("APB 25") and related interpretations and disclosure requirements established by SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation.

Under APB 25, no expense was recorded in the income statement for the Company's stock options granted at fair market value. The pro forma effects on income for stock options and the Company's employee stock purchase plan were instead disclosed in a footnote to the financial statements.

The Company adopted SFAS No. 123(R) using the modified prospective method. Under this method, all share-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date, based on the estimated fair value of the award, and is recognized as expense over the specified vesting period. Prior periods are not restated. The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share as if the Company had applied the fair-value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123 to stock options and the employee stock purchase program prior to adoption of SFAS No. 123(R).

	Ende	ee Months ed July 31, 2005
Net income available to common stockholders, as reported	\$	2,257
Deduct: Total stock-based compensation expense determined		
under fair value based method, net		368
Net income available to common stockholders, pro forma	\$	1,889
Basic income per common share:		
As reported	\$	0.09
Pro forma	\$	0.08
Diluted income per common share:		
As reported	\$	0.09
Pro forma	\$	0.07

Effective March 2, 2006, the Company accelerated the vesting of all unvested stock options. As a result, stock-based compensation in periods subsequent to the acceleration is significantly reduced. The Company recognized stock-based compensation expense totaling \$39 (\$24 net of tax) related to the accelerated vesting of options previously awarded. This expense was included in general and administration expenses in the consolidated statements of operations for fiscal year 2006.

Stock-based compensation expense recognized during the three months ended July 31, 2006 totaled approximately \$134, or approximately a \$0.01 per share decrease to basic and diluted net income per common share. \$110 was recorded with respect to stock options issued and \$24 was recorded with respect to the Company's employee stock purchase plan. This expense is included in general and administration expenses in the consolidated statements of operations. The total compensation cost at July 31, 2006 related to unvested stock options was \$2,217 and that future expense will be recognized over the vesting periods of the stock options. The weighted average remaining vesting period of those awards is approximately 3.8 years.

The total tax benefit related to the exercise of stock options was approximately \$141 during the three months ended July 31, 2006. Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), the Company presented all tax benefits net of deductions resulting from the exercise of stock options as an operating cash flow, in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") Issue No. 00-15, Classification in the Statement of Cash Flows of the Income Tax Benefit Received by a Company upon Exercise of a Nonqualified Employee Stock Option. SFAS No. 123(R) requires the Company to reflect the tax savings resulting from tax deductions in excess of expense reflected in its financial statements as a financing cash flow.

The Company's calculations of stock-based compensation expense for the three months ended July 31, 2005 and 2006 were made using the Black-Scholes valuation model. The fair value of the Company's stock option grants was estimated assuming no expected dividend yield and the following weighted average assumptions for the three months ended July 31, 2005 and 2006, as follows:

	Three mont July 3					
	2005	2006				
Stock Options:						
Expected life	5 years	6 years				
Risk-free interest rate	3.63% - 3.76%	5.14%				
Expected volatility	40.35%	31.02%				
Stock Purchase Plan:						
Expected life	.5 years	.5 years				
Risk-free interest rate	4.30% - 4.40%	5.31%				
Expected volatility	40.35%	30.42%				

Expected life is calculated based on the weighted average historical life of the vested stock options giving consideration to vesting schedules and historical exercise patterns. Risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. treasury yield curve for the period of the expected life of the stock option. Expected volatility is calculated using the average of weekly historical volatility over the last one, three and six years. One and three year historical volatility is based on the weekly price changes of the Company's Class A Common Stock. The six year historical volatility is based on peer group volatility and the weekly price changes of the common stock of various other publicly traded solid waste companies.

The Black-Scholes valuation model requires extensive use of accounting judgment and financial estimation, including estimates of the expected term option holders will retain their vested stock options before exercising them, the estimated volatility of the Company's common stock price over the expected term, and the number of options that will be forfeited prior to the completion of their vesting requirements. Application of alternative assumptions could produce significantly different estimates of the fair value of stock-based compensation and consequently, the related amounts recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

In January 1998, the Company implemented its Employee Stock Purchase Plan. Under this plan, qualified employees may purchase shares of Class A Common Stock by payroll deduction at a 15% discount from the market price. 600 shares of Class A Common Stock have been reserved for this purpose. As of July 31, 2006, 397 shares of Class A Common Stock were available for distribution under this plan.

During 1996, the Company adopted a stock option plan for employees, officers and directors of, and consultants and advisors to the Company. The 1996 Stock Option Plan (the "1996 Option Plan") provided for the issuance of a maximum of 918 shares of Class A Common Stock pursuant to the grant of either incentive stock options or non-statutory options. As of April 30, 2006, a total of 167 options to purchase Class A Common Stock were outstanding at a weighted average exercise price of \$14.30. As of July 31, 2006, a total of 166 options to purchase Class A common Stock were outstanding at an average exercise price of \$14.32. No further options may be granted under this plan.

On July 31, 1997, the Company adopted a stock option plan for employees, officers and directors of, and consultants and advisors to the Company. The Board of Directors has the authority to select the optionees and determine the terms of the options granted. The 1997 Stock Option Plan (the "1997 Option Plan") provides for the issuance of 5,328 shares of Class A Common Stock pursuant to the grant of either incentive stock options or non-statutory options, which includes all authorized, but unissued options under previous plans. As of April 30, 2006, options to purchase 3,056 shares of Class A Common Stock at an average exercise price of \$13.12 were outstanding under the 1997 Option Plan. As of July 31, 2006, options to purchase 3,430 shares of Class A Common Stock at a weighted average exercise price of \$13.19 were

outstanding under the 1997 Option Plan. As of July 31, 2006, 436 options were available for future grant under the 1997 Option Plan.

Additionally, options outstanding under the assumed KTI Stock Option Plan totaled 20 at April 30, 2006 and July 31, 2006, at a weighted average exercise price of \$18.62. Upon assumption of this plan, options under the KTI plan became exercisable for an equal number of shares of the Company's stock. The exercise price of the converted options was increased by 96.1% based on relative fair values of the underlying stock at the date of the KTI acquisition.

On July 31, 1997, the Company adopted a stock option plan for non-employee directors of the Company. The 1997 Non-Employee Director Stock Option Plan provides for the issuance of a maximum of 200 shares of Class A Common Stock pursuant to the grant of non-statutory options. As of April 30, 2006 and July 31, 2006, options to purchase 189 shares of Class A Common Stock at a weighted average exercise price of \$11.87 respectively, were outstanding. As of April 30, 2006, 9 options were available for future grant under the 1997 Non-Employee Director Stock Option Plan.

Options generally vest over a one to four year period from the date of grant and are granted at prices at least equal to the prevailing fair market value at the issue date. In general, options are issued with a life not to exceed ten years. Shares issued by the Company upon exercise of stock options are issued from the pool of authorized shares of Class A Common Stock.

A summary of options outstanding as of April 30, 2006, and changes during the three months ended July 31, 2006, is presented below:

	Unvested Shares	Vested Shares	Total Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value of Vested Options	Weighted Average Remaining Term (Years)
Outstanding, April 30,						
2006	_	3,431,474	3,431,474	\$ 13.14	\$ 8,270	5.2
Granted	445,650	10,000	455,650	13.17		
Forfeited	_	(334)	(334)	4.61		
Exercised	_	(71,934)	(71,934)	10.18		
Outstanding, July 31,						
2006	445,650	3,369,206	3,814,856	13.20		5.5
Exercisable, July 31,						
2006		3,369,206	3,369,206	\$ 13.21	<u>\$</u>	5.0

The weighted average grant date fair value per share for the stock options granted during the three months ended July 31, 2005 and 2006 was \$4.51 and \$5.08, respectively. The total intrinsic value of stock options exercised during the three month period ending July 31, 2006 was \$371. The total fair value of the 10 stock options vested during the three month period ending March 31, 2006 was \$64.

#### 13. SEGMENT REPORTING

SFAS No. 131, *Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information*, establishes standards for reporting information about operating segments in financial statements. In general, SFAS No. 131 requires that business entities report selected information about operating segments in a manner consistent with that used for internal management reporting.

The Company classifies its operations into North Eastern, South Eastern, Central, Western and FCR Recycling. The Company's revenues in the North Eastern, South Eastern, Central and Western segments are derived mainly from one industry segment, which includes the collection, transfer, recycling and disposal of non-hazardous solid waste. The North Eastern region also includes Maine Energy, which generates electricity from non-hazardous solid waste. The Company's revenues in the FCR Recycling segment are

derived from integrated waste handling services, including processing and recycling of paper, metals, aluminum, plastics and glass. Included in "Other" are ancillary operations, mainly major customer accounts as well as Parent Company assets.

		No	North Eastern Region		outh Eastern Region		Central Region		Western Region	FCR Recycling	
Three Months Ended July 31, 2005 (1)											
Outside revenues		\$	27.596	\$	24,397	\$	29,511	\$	25,522	\$	20,499
Depreciation and amortization		-	4,740	-	2,910	-	3,745	-	3,217	-	1,088
Operating income			1,742		522		4,682		3,387		3,023
Total assets		\$	177,078	\$	139,203	\$	128,812	\$	154,108	\$	65,676
Three Months Ended July 31, 2005 (1)	Other	_	Total								

U	ther		Total
			,
\$	4,475	\$	132,000
	434		16,134
	(394)		12,962
\$	64,979	\$	729,856
	\$	434 (394)	\$ 4,475 \$ 434 (394)

	h Eastern Legion	h Eastern Region	Central Region	Western Region	FCR Recycling
Three Months Ended July 31, 2006	 	 			
Outside revenues	\$ 29,509	\$ 21,054	\$ 34,644	\$ 29,103	\$ 23,315
Depreciation and amortization	4,795	1,929	5,321	3,996	1,412
Operating income	1,167	(1,134)	3,875	2,889	2,573
Total assets	\$ 184,712	\$ 153,382	\$ 151,109	\$ 167,057	\$ 89,595

	Other	Total
Three Months Ended July 31, 2006		
Outside revenues	\$ 5,894	\$ 143,519
Depreciation and amortization	489	17,942
Operating income	(707)	8,663
Total assets	\$ 81,029	\$ 826,884

<sup>(1)</sup> Certain items have been reclassified for the three months ended July 31, 2005 to conform to current year reporting.

Amounts of the Company's total revenue attributable to services provided are as follows:

		Months Ended
	2005	2006
Collection	\$ 65,26	7 \$ 68,497
Landfill/disposal facilities	23,26	3 28,376
Transfer	11,64	9 12,309
Recycling	31,82	1 34,337
Total revenues	\$ 132,00	0 \$ 143,519

#### 14. INVESTMENTS IN UNCONSOLIDATED ENTITIES

The Company entered into an agreement in July 2000 with Louisiana-Pacific to combine their respective cellulose insulation businesses into a single operating entity, GreenFiber, under a joint venture agreement effective August 1, 2000. The Company's investment in GreenFiber amounted to \$30,899 and \$31,184 at April 30, 2006 and July 31, 2006, respectively. The Company accounts for its 50% ownership in GreenFiber under the equity method of accounting.

Summarized financial information for the Company's equity method investments is as follows:

	April 30, 2006	July 31, 2006
Current assets	\$ 31,592	\$ 27,973
Noncurrent assets	72,021	76,482
Current liabilities	23,662	22,786
Noncurrent liabilities	\$ 13,296	\$ 14,379
		hs ended July
	2005	2006
Revenue	\$ 31,599	\$ 44,396

The Company purchased interests, representing a 24.11% interest, in RecycleBank LLC ("RecycleBank"), a company which markets an incentive-based recycling service that gives homeowners credits for recycling which can be used with participating merchants. This investment is accounted for as an equity method investment.

(140) \$

6.231

10.995

#### 15. NET ASSETS UNDER CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATION

Gross profit

Net income (loss)

Effective June 30, 2003, the Company transferred its domestic brokerage operations as well as a commercial recycling business to former employees who had been responsible for managing those businesses.

Consideration for the transaction was in the form of two notes receivable amounting up to \$6,925. These notes are payable within twelve years of the anniversary date of the transaction to the extent of free cash flow generated from the operations.

Effective August 1, 2005, the Company transferred a certain Canadian recycling operation to a former employee who had been responsible for managing that business. Consideration for this transaction was in the form of a note receivable amounting up to \$1,313 which is payable within six years of the anniversary date of the transaction to the extent of free cash flow generated from the operations.

The Company has not accounted for these transactions as sales based on an assessment that the risks and other incidents of ownership have not sufficiently transferred to the buyers. The net assets of the operations are disclosed in the balance sheet as "net assets under contractual obligation", and are being reduced as payments are made.

Net assets under contractual obligation amounted to \$937 and \$319 at April 30, 2006 and July 31, 2006, respectively.

#### 16. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The Company's senior subordinated notes due 2013 are guaranteed jointly and severally, fully and

unconditionally by the Company's significant wholly-owned subsidiaries. The Parent is the issuer and non-guarantor of the senior subordinated notes. The information which follows presents the condensed consolidating financial position as of April 30, 2006 and July 31, 2006, and the condensed consolidating results of operations for the three months ended July 31, 2005 and 2006 and the condensed consolidating statements of cash flows for the three months ended July 31, 2005 and 2006 of (a) the Parent company only, (b) the combined guarantors ("the Guarantors"), each of which is 100% wholly-owned by the Parent, (c) the combined non-guarantors ("the Non-Guarantors"), (d) eliminating entries and (e) the Company on a consolidated basis.

## CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET AS OF APRIL 30, 2006

(In thousands, except for share and per share data)

ASSETS		Parent	_	Guarantors	_1	Non-Guarantors	<u>Elimination</u>		_	Consolidated
ASSETS CURRENT ASSETS:										
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	(3,840)	\$	10,747	\$	522	\$		\$	7,429
Accounts receivable - trade, net of allowance for	Ψ	(3,040)	Ψ	10,747	Ψ	322	Ψ		Ψ	7,427
doubtful accounts		35		55,641		620		(27)		56,269
Deferred taxes		4,029		33,041		1,005		(27)		5,034
Other current assets		2,456		7,863		1,003		(77)		10,242
Total current assets		2,680	_	74,251	_	2,147	_	$\frac{(77)}{(104)}$	_	78,974
Total current assets		2,080		74,231		2,147		(104)		78,974
Property, plant and equipment, net of accumulated										
depreciation and amortization		3,252		478,725		(693)		_		481,284
Goodwill		_		171,258		_		_		171,258
Restricted cash		5,469		3		12,415		_		17,887
Investment in subsidiaries		1,189		_		_	(1	,189)		_
Assets under contractual obligation		_		937		_		_		937
Other non-current assets		27,467		37,563		120	(4	,379)		60,771
		37,377		688,486		11,842		,568)		732,137
Intercompany receivable		656,623		(657,153)		(3,849)	4	,379		_
	\$	696,680	\$	105,584	\$	10,140	\$ (1	202)	\$	811,111
	Ф	090,080	Þ	103,384	Ф	10,140	φ (1	,293)	φ	611,111
VALUE AND STOCKING DEPOSIT DOLLARS.		Parent		Guarantors	N	on - Guarantors	Elimination			Consolidated
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY										
CURRENT LIABILITIES:	•		Φ.	50.5	Φ.		Φ.		•	505
Current maturities of long term debt	\$	_	\$	527	\$	_	\$	_	\$	527
Current maturities of capital lease obligations		121		940						1,061
Accounts payable		2,227		43,996		245		(104)		46,364
Accrued payroll and related expenses		1,413		5,376		29		_		6,818
Accrued interest		6,648		2		_		_		6,650
Accrued income taxes		200		_		_		_		200
Other current liabilities	_	5,688		13,612	_	13,845		_	_	33,145
Total current liabilities		16,297		64,453		14,119		(104)		94,765
Long-term debt, less current maturities		451,824		896		_		_		452,720
Deferred income taxes		6,957		_		<u> </u>		_		6,957
Other long-term liabilities		1,682		33,372		1,695		_		36,749
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES										
Series A redeemable, convertible preferred stock,										
authorized - 55,750, issued and outstanding -										
53,000, liquidation preference of \$1,000 per										
share plus accrued but unpaid dividends		70,430		_		_		_		70,430
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY:										
Class A common stock - Authorized - 100,000,000										
shares, \$0.01 par value; issued and outstanding -		2.42		101		100		(201)		242
24,185,000 shares		242		101		100	1	(201)		242
Class B common stock - Authorized - 1,000,000										
shares, \$0.01 par value, 10 votes per share,										
issued and outstanding - 988,000 shares		10		<del>-</del>				_		10
Accumulated other comprehensive income		159		91		(122)		31		159
Additional paid-in capital		274,297		48,360		2,743		,103)		274,297
Accumulated deficit		(125,218)		(41,689)		(8,395)		,084		(125,218
Total stockholders' equity		149,490		6,863		(5,674)	(1	,189)		149,490
	\$	696,680	\$	105,584	\$	10,140	\$ (1	,293)	\$	811,111

# CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET AS OF JULY 31, 2006

#### (Unaudited)

(In thousands, except for share and per share data)

		Parent		Guarantors	Non-Guarantors		Elimination		Consolidated	
ASSETS		,								
CURRENT ASSETS:	Ф	(4.000)	•	10.226	Φ.	5.10	Φ.		•	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	(4,099)	\$	10,226	\$	540	\$	_	\$	6,667
Accounts receivable - trade, net of allowance for doubtful accounts		426		60,289		653				61,368
Refundable income taxes		911		00,289		055				911
Other current assets		6,534		8,284		999		_		15,817
Total current assets		3,772	_	78,799	_	2,192		_	_	84,763
		3,772		70,777		2,172				0.,,05
Property, plant and equipment, net of accumulated										
depreciation and amortization		3,522		491,749		(320)		_		494,951
Goodwill		_		171,602		_		_		171,602
Investment in subsidiaries		2,668		_		_		(2,668)		_
Assets under contractual obligation		_		319		_		_		319
Other non-current assets		28,995		37,946		12,687		(4,379)		75,249
		35,185		701,616		12,367		(7,047)		742,121
Totalian management to		(72.205		((72.021)		(2.752)		4.270		
Intercompany receivable		672,395		(673,021)	_	(3,753)	_	4,379	_	<del></del>
	\$	711,352	\$	107,394	\$	10,806	\$	(2,668)	\$	826,884
		Parent		Guarantors	1	Non - Guarantors		Elimination		Consolidated
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY										
CURRENT LIABILITIES:										
Current maturities of long term debt	\$	900	\$	512	\$	_	\$	_	\$	1,412
Accounts payable		1,794		44,306		209		_		46,309
Accrued interest		12,403		2		_		_		12,405
Accrued closure and post-closure costs, current										
portion		_		1,073		2,062		_		3,135
Other current liabilities		7,159		18,161		12,224		_		37,544
Total current liabilities		22,256		64,054		14,495		_		100,805
Y		450.000		(20						460.510
Long-term debt, less current maturities		459,883		629		1.712		_		460,512
Other long-term liabilities		7,895		34,641		1,713		_		44,249
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES										
Series A redeemable, convertible preferred stock,										
authorized - 55,750, issued and outstanding -										
53,000, liquidation preference of \$1,000 per										
share plus accrued but unpaid dividends		71,311		_		_		_		71,311
·										
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY:										
Class A common stock - Authorized -										
100,000,000 shares, \$0.01 par value; issued										
and outstanding - 24,185,000 shares		243		101		100		(201)		243
Class B common stock - Authorized - 1,000,000										
shares, \$0.01 par value, 10 votes per share,		10								10
issued and outstanding - 988,000 shares Accumulated other comprehensive income		10 97		108		(111)		3		10 97
Additional paid-in capital		274,928		47,189		3,328		(50,517)		274,928
Accumulated deficit		(125,271)		(39,328)		(8,719)		48,047		(125,271)
Total stockholders' equity		150,007	_	8.070	_	(5,402)	_	(2,668)		150,007
		130,007	_	0,070	_	(3,402)	_	(2,000)	_	130,007
	\$	711,352	\$	107,394	\$	10,806	\$	(2,668)	\$	826,884

## CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS THREE MONTHS ENDED JULY 31, 2005

(Unaudited) (In thousands)

	 Parent	_	Guarantors	N	on - Guarantors	_	Elimination	_	Consolidated
Revenues	\$ _	\$	131,112	\$	3,073	\$	(2,185)	\$	132,000
Operating expenses:									
Cost of operations	3		85,296		2,572		(2,185)		85,686
General and administration	(84)		17,051		251		` _		17,218
Depreciation and amortization	370		15,644		120		_		16,134
	289		117,991		2,943	,	(2,185)		119,038
Operating income (loss)	(289)	_	13,121		130	_		_	12,962
Other expense/(income), net:									
Interest income	(7,612)		(60)		(106)		7,611		(167)
Interest expense	7,931		7,173		24		(7,611)		7,517
Loss (income) from equity method investments	(6,178)		70				6,178		70
Other expense/(income), net:	(13)		(35)		_				(48)
Other expense/(income), net	 (5,872)		7,148		(82)		6,178		7,372
Income (loss) before income taxes	5,583		5,973		212		(6,178)		5,590
Provision for income taxes	 2,476				7				2,483
Net income (loss)	3,107		5,973		205		(6,178)		3,107
Preferred stock dividend	 850								850
Net income (loss) available to common							46.4==\		
stockholders	\$ 2,257	\$	5,973	\$	205	\$	(6,178)	\$	2,257

# CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS THREE MONTHS ENDED JULY 31, 2006

(Unaudited) (In thousands)

	Parent	Guarantors	Non - Guarantors	Elimination	Consolidated
Revenues	\$ —	\$ 143,510	\$ 1,936	\$ (1,927)	\$ 143,519
Operating expenses:					
Cost of operations	6	95,429	2,227	(1,927)	95,735
General and administration	171	20,866	142	_	21,179
Depreciation and amortization	425	17,516	1	_	17,942
	602	133,811	2,370	(1,927)	134,856
Operating income (loss)	(602)	9,699	(434)		8,663
Other expense/(income), net:					
Interest income	(8,983)	(122)	(140)	8,916	(329)
Interest expense	10,392	8,337	20	(8,916)	9,833
(Income) loss from equity method investments	(1,318)	(258)	_	1,453	(123)
Other (income)/expense, net:	(20)	(35)	_		(55)
Other expense/(income), net	71	7,922	(120)	1,453	9,326
Income (loss) before income taxes	(673)	1,777	(314)	(1,453)	(663)
Provision for income taxes	(620)	- 1,777	10	(1,133)	(610)
Trovision for meonic taxes	(020)				(010)
Net income (loss)	(53)	1,777	(324)	(1,453)	(53)
Preferred stock dividend	881	_	· —		881
Net income (loss) available to common					
stockholders	\$ (934)	\$ 1,777	\$ (324)	\$ (1,453)	\$ (934)

## CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS THREE MONTHS ENDED JULY 31, 2005

(Unaudited) (In thousands)

	Parent	Guarantors	Non-Guarantors	Elimination	Consolidated
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	\$ 5,505	\$ 16,632	\$ 484	\$ —	\$ 22,621
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:					
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	_	(1,044)	_	_	(1,044)
Additions to property, plant and equipment - growth	_	(14,941)	_	_	(14,941)
- maintenance	(324)	(19,110)	(241)	_	(19,675)
Payments on landfill operating lease contracts	_	(428)	_	_	(428)
Other	_	638	_	_	638
Net Cash Used In Investing Activities	(324)	(34,885)	(241)		(35,450)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:					
Proceeds from long-term borrowings	35,955	_	_	_	35,955
Principal payments on long-term debt	(24,747)	(184)	_	_	(24,931)
Intercompany borrowings	(16,025)	16,628	(603)		
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities	(4,817)	16,444	(603)		11,024
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	364	(1,809)	(360)		(1,805)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	(2,383)	10,146	815	_	8,578
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ (2,019)	\$ 8,337	\$ 455	\$	\$ 6,773

# CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS THREE MONTHS ENDED JULY 31, 2006

(Unaudited) (In thousands)

	 Parent	 Guarantors	Non-Guarantors	_	Elimination	 Consolidated
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Operating Activities	\$ 5,937	\$ 12,553	\$ (97)	\$	_	\$ 18,393
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:						
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	_	(632)	_		_	(632)
Additions to property, plant and equipment - growth	_	(8,487)	_		_	(8,487)
- maintenance	(694)	(22,716)	(373)		_	(23,783)
Payments on landfill operating lease contracts	_	(618)	_		_	(618)
Restricted cash from revenue bond issuance	4,419	_	_		_	4,419
Other	(621)	1,077				456
Net Cash Used In Investing Activities	3,104	(31,376)	(373)			(28,645)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:						
Proceeds from long-term borrowings	139,200	_	_		_	139,200
Principal payments on long-term debt	(130,257)	(494)	_		_	(130,751)
Other	1,041	_	_		_	1,041
Intercompany borrowings	(19,284)	18,796	488			
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities	 (9,300)	18,302	488			9,490
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(259)	(521)	18			(762)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	(3,840)	10,747	522		_	7,429
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ (4,099)	\$ 10,226	\$ 540	\$		\$ 6,667

#### ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the unaudited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included under Item 1. In addition, reference should be made to the Company's audited Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto and related Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations appearing in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended April 30, 2006.

#### **Company Overview**

Casella Waste Systems, Inc., together with its subsidiaries, is a vertically integrated regional solid waste services company that provides collection, transfer, disposal and recycling services to residential, industrial and commercial customers, primarily throughout the eastern region of the United States. As of August 31, 2006, the Company owned and/or operated nine Subtitle D landfills, two landfills permitted to accept construction and demolition materials, 39 solid waste collection operations, 33 transfer stations, 39 recycling facilities and one waste-to-energy facility, as well as a 50% interest in a joint venture that manufactures, markets and sells cellulose insulation made from recycled fiber.

The Company's revenues increased from \$132.0 million for the quarter ended July 31, 2005 to \$143.5 million for the quarter ended July 31, 2006. From May 1, 2002 through April 30, 2006, the Company acquired 29 solid waste collection, transfer, disposal and recycling operations. Between May 1, 2006 and July 31, 2006 the Company acquired five solid waste hauling operations. Under the rules of purchase accounting, the acquired companies' revenues and results of operations have been included from the date of acquisition and affect the period-to-period comparisons of the Company's historical results of operations.

#### **Forward Looking Statements**

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and, in particular, this management discussion and analysis contain or incorporate a number of forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act and Section 21E of the Exchange Act, including statements regarding:

- expected future revenues, operations, expenditures and cash needs;
- fluctuations in the commodity pricing of the Company's recyclables, increases in landfill tipping fees and fuel costs, and general economic and weather conditions;
- projected future obligations related to capping, closure and post-closure costs of the Company's existing landfills and any disposal facilities which the Company may own or operate in the future;
- the projected development of additional disposal capacity;
- estimates of the potential markets for the Company's products and services, including the anticipated drivers for future growth;
- sales and marketing plans;
- potential mergers or acquisitions; and

• projected improvements to the Company's infrastructure and impact of such improvements on the Company's business and operations.

In addition, any statements contained in or incorporated by reference into this report that are not statements of historical fact should be considered forward-looking statements. You can identify these forward-looking statements by the use of the words "believes", "expects", "anticipates", "plans", "way", "will", "would", "intends", "estimates" and other similar expressions, whether in the negative or affirmative. These forward-looking statements are based on current expectations, estimates, forecasts and projections about the industry and markets in which the Company operates and management's beliefs and assumptions, and should be read in conjunction with the Company's consolidated financial statements and notes to consolidated financial statements included in this report. The Company cannot guarantee that the Company actually will achieve the plans, intentions or expectations disclosed in the forward-looking statements made. There are a number of important risks and uncertainties that could cause the Company's actual results to differ materially from those indicated by such forward-looking statements. These risks and uncertainties include, without limitation, those detailed in Item 1A, "Risk Factors" in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended April 30, 2006. The Company does not intend to update publicly any forward-looking statements whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

#### General

#### Revenues

The Company's revenues in the North Eastern, South Eastern, Central and Western regions are attributable primarily to fees charged to customers for solid waste disposal and collection, landfill, waste-to-energy, transfer and recycling services. The Company derives a substantial portion of its collection revenues from commercial, industrial and municipal services that are generally performed under service agreements or pursuant to contracts with municipalities. The majority of the Company's residential collection services are performed on a subscription basis with individual households. Landfill, waste-to-energy facility and transfer customers are charged a tipping fee on a per ton basis for disposing of their solid waste at the Company's disposal facilities and transfer stations. The majority of the Company's disposal and transfer customers are under one to ten year disposal contracts, with most having clauses for annual cost of living increases. Recycling revenues, which are included in FCR and the Central and Western regions, consist of revenues from the sale of recyclable commodities and operations and maintenance contracts of recycling facilities for municipal customers.

In the "Other" segment, the Company has ancillary revenues comprising major customer accounts and earnings from equity method investees. The Company's cellulose insulation business is conducted through a 50/50 joint venture with Louisiana-Pacific, and accordingly, it recognizes half of the joint venture's net income on the equity method in its results of operations. The Company purchased interests, representing a 24.11% interest, in RecycleBank LLC ("RecycleBank"), a company which markets an incentive-based recycling service that gives homeowners credits for recycling which can be used with participating merchants. This investment is accounted for as an equity method investment.

The Company's revenues are shown net of inter-company eliminations. The Company typically establishes its inter-company transfer pricing based upon prevailing market rates. The table below shows, for the periods indicated, the percentages and dollars of revenue attributable to services provided. Despite an increase in the absolute dollar amounts, collection revenues as a percentage of total revenues in the quarter ended July 31,2006 were lower compared to the prior year, mainly because of the increase in landfill revenue dollars. Overall, collection volume and price, including the positive impact of acquisitions in the Central and Western regions, increased in the quarter ended July 31,2006. The South Eastern region collection volumes declined year over year, due primarily to historically high levels of rainfall which slowed seasonal construction activity. Landfill/disposal revenues as a percentage of total revenues increased in the quarter ended July 31,2006 primarily due to higher landfill volumes in the Central, Western and North Eastern

regions, and the effect of the addition of the Chemung landfill in the Western region and the Colebrook closure project in the Central region. Landfill volumes in the South Eastern region decreased in the quarter ended July 31, 2006 due to the completion of the Brockton closure project and lower volumes for the Worcester closure project. Landfill average pricing was up slightly in the quarter ended July 31, 2006 due to price increases in the North Eastern Region, which were partially offset by lower average prices in the balance of the solid waste regions, due mainly to higher volumes of lower priced BUD (Beneficial Use Determination) material in the quarter ended July 31, 2006 compared to the prior year. Recycling revenues increased in absolute dollar amounts yet remained constant as a percentage of total revenue in the quarter ended July 31, 2006 compared to the prior year, mainly because of the increase in landfill revenue dollars. The increase in recycling revenue dollars is primarily attributable to higher volumes from the Company's existing facilities as well as the acquisition of Blue Mountain Recycling in the FCR region. Partially offsetting this increase, average commodity prices decreased in the quarter ended July 31, 2006 due to an approximate 25% decline in plastics prices, compared to the prior year comparable period.

	Three Months Ended July 31,			
	2005	5	200	6
Collection	\$ 65,267	49.5% \$	68,497	47.7%
Landfill/disposal facilities	23,263	17.6	28,376	19.7
Transfer	11,649	8.8	12,309	8.6
Recycling	31,821	24.1	34,337	24.0
Total revenues	\$ 132,000	100.0% \$	143,519	100.0%

#### Operating Expenses

Cost of operations includes labor, tipping fees paid to third-party disposal facilities, fuel, maintenance and repair of vehicles and equipment, worker's compensation and vehicle insurance, the cost of purchasing materials to be recycled, third party transportation expense, district and state taxes, host community fees and royalties. Cost of operations also includes accretion expense related to landfill capping, closure and post closure, leachate treatment and disposal costs and depletion of landfill operating lease obligations.

General and administration expenses include management, clerical and administrative compensation and overhead, professional services and costs associated with marketing, sales force and community relations efforts.

Depreciation and amortization expense includes depreciation of fixed assets over the estimated useful life of the assets using the straight-line method, amortization of landfill airspace assets under the units-of-consumption method, and the amortization of intangible assets (other than goodwill) using the straight-line method. In accordance with SFAS No. 143, *Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations*, except for accretion expense, the Company amortizes landfill retirement assets through a charge to cost of operations using a straight-line rate per ton as landfill airspace is utilized. The amount of landfill amortization expense related to airspace consumption can vary materially from landfill to landfill depending upon the purchase price and landfill site and cell development costs. The Company depreciates all fixed and intangible assets, other than goodwill, to a zero net book value, and does not apply a salvage value to any fixed assets.

The Company capitalizes certain direct landfill development costs, such as engineering, permitting, legal, construction and other costs associated directly with the expansion of existing landfills. Additionally, the Company also capitalizes certain third party expenditures related to pending acquisitions, such as legal and engineering costs. The Company routinely evaluates all such capitalized costs, and expense those costs related to projects not likely to be successful. Internal and indirect landfill development and acquisition costs, such as executive and corporate overhead, public relations and other corporate services, are expensed as incurred.

The Company will have material financial obligations relating to capping, closure and post-closure costs of its existing landfills and any disposal facilities which it may own or operate in the future. The Company has provided and will in the future provide accruals for these future financial obligations based on engineering estimates of consumption of permitted landfill airspace over the useful life of any such landfill. There can be no assurance that the Company's financial obligations for capping, closure or post-closure costs will not exceed the amount accrued and reserved or amounts otherwise receivable pursuant to trust funds.

#### **Results of Operations**

The following table sets forth for the periods indicated the percentage relationship that certain items from the Company's consolidated financial statements bear in relation to revenues.

	Three Mon Ended July	
	2005	2006
Revenues	100.0%	100.0%
Cost of operations	64.9	66.7
General and administration	13.0	14.8
Depreciation and amortization	12.2	12.5
		,
Operating income	9.9	6.0
Interest expense, net	5.6	6.6
(Income) loss from equity method investments	0.1	(0.1)
Other income, net	(0.1)	(0.1)
(Benefit) provision for income taxes	1.9	(0.4)
Net (loss) income	2.4%	(0.0)%

#### Three months Ended July 31, 2006 versus 2005

Revenues - Revenues increased \$11.5 million, or 8.7 % to \$143.5 million in the quarter ended July 31, 2006 from \$132.0 million in the quarter ended July 31, 2005. Revenues from the rollover effect of acquired businesses accounted for \$7.4 million of the increase, including tuck-in hauling acquisitions in the Central and Western regions, newly acquired landfill closure projects in the Central and South Eastern regions, the acquisition of two recycling facilities and a small recyclable material transfer station in the FCR region and the new Chemung contract to operate a landfill and transfer station in the Western region. The effect of acquisitions was partially offset by \$0.5 million as a result of the transfer of a Canadian recycling operation to its former manager. The revenue increase is also attributable to an increase in solid waste revenues of \$3.9 million, primarily due to higher prices and volumes in the North Eastern, Western and Central regions, offset by lower volumes in the South Eastern region. Excluding the rollover effect of acquisitions, the FCR region revenue increased \$0.7 million in the quarter ended July 31, 2006 compared to the quarter ended July 31, 2005 due primarily to volume increases, average commodity pricing being down year over year.

Cost of operations - Cost of operations increased \$10.0 million or 11.7% to \$95.7 million in the quarter ended July 31, 2006 from \$85.7 million in the quarter ended July 31, 2005. Cost of operations as a percentage of revenues increased to 66.7% in the quarter ended July 31, 2006 from 64.9% in the prior year. The percentage increase in cost of operations expense is primarily due to higher fuel costs as well as higher landfill costs, such as leachate treatment and ground maintenance, due to historically high levels of rainfall in the quarter ended July 31, 2006.

General and administration - General and administration expenses increased \$4.0 million, or 23.0% to \$21.2 million in the quarter ended July 31, 2006 from \$17.2 million in the quarter ended July 31, 2005, and

increased as a percentage of revenues to 14.8% in the quarter ended July 31, 2006 from 13.0% in the quarter ended July 31, 2005. The dollar increase in general and administration expenses was due primarily to higher compensation, legal, communication and marketing costs and bad debt allowances.

Depreciation and amortization - Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$1.8 million, or 11.2%, to \$17.9 million in the quarter ended July 31, 2006 from \$16.1 million in the quarter ended July, 31, 2005. Depreciation expense increased by \$1.0 million between periods due to the rollover effect of capital additions. Landfill amortization expense increased by \$0.8 million primarily due to the startup of the Colebrook closure project and the Chemung County landfill, partially offset by a decrease in the South Eastern region due to lower volumes at the Worcester closure project.

Operating income - Operating income decreased by \$4.3 million, or 33.2%, to \$8.7 million in the quarter ended July 31, 2006 from \$13.0 million in the quarter ended July 31, 2005 and decreased as a percentage of revenues to 6.0% in the quarter ended July 31, 2006 compared to 9.9% in the quarter ended July 31, 2005. Except for the South Eastern region, the solid waste regions and the FCR region reported an increase in revenues year over year. The margin decrease across the solid waste regions was due to higher revenues being offset by higher operating costs as described above. The South Eastern region's decline in revenues and operating income in the quarter ended July 31, 2006 can be attributed primarily to historically high levels of rainfall which slowed seasonal construction activity, as well as a weak pricing environment for the Worcester closure project, which was expected to offset the completion of the Brockton closure project. FCR's operating income decreased in the quarter ended July 31, 2006 compared to the prior year mainly due to the effect of lower commodity prices, partially offset by the effect of acquisitions.

Interest expense, net - Net interest expense increased \$2.2 million, or 29.3% to \$9.5 million in the quarter ended July 31, 2006 from \$7.3 million in the quarter ended July 31, 2005. This increase is attributable to higher average interest rates, partially offset by lower net borrowings in the quarter ended July 31, 2006 compared to the prior year comparable period. Net interest expense, as a percentage of revenues, increased to 6.6% in the quarter ended July 31, 2006 from 5.6% in the quarter ended July 31, 2005.

(Income) loss from equity method investments - The income from equity method investment in the quarter ended July 31, 2006, relates to the Company's 50% joint venture interest in GreenFiber and the Company's 24.11% interest in RecycleBank LLC, a company which markets an incentive-based recycling service that gives homeowners credits for recycling which can be used with participating merchants. GreenFiber reported income for which the Company's share was \$0.3 million in the quarter ended July 31, 2006 compared to a loss of \$0.1 million in the quarter ended July 31, 2005. The increase is attributable to higher sales volume and prices in the current fiscal year compared to the prior year comparable period. In fiscal year 2006 the Company made an investment in RecycleBank, which reported a loss for the quarter ended July 31, 2006, of which the Company's share was \$0.2 million.

Other income, net - Other income in the quarter ended July 31, 2006 was \$0.1 million compared to \$0.0 million in the quarter ended July 31, 2005.

Provision for income taxes - Provision for income taxes decreased \$3.1 million to (\$0.6) million for the quarter ended July 31, 2006 from \$2.5 million for the quarter ended July 31, 2005. The effective tax rate increased to 92.0% for the quarter ended July 31, 2006 from 44.4% for the quarter ended July 31, 2005 primarily due to the change in pre-tax book income. The high rate in the current period was due mainly to the low level of book income and the add back of non-deductible items, including non-deductible stock option expense. The tax rate for the remainder of the year is likely to be volatile, since it is sensitive to changes in pre-tax book income.

#### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

The Company's business is capital intensive. The Company's capital requirements include acquisitions, fixed asset purchases and capital expenditures for landfill development and cell construction, as well as site and cell closure. The Company's capital expenditures are broadly defined as pertaining to either growth or maintenance activities. Growth capital expenditures are defined as costs related to development of new airspace, permit expansions, new recycling contracts along with incremental costs of equipment and infrastructure added to further such activities. Growth capital expenditures include the cost of equipment added directly as a result of new business as well as expenditures associated with increasing infrastructure to increase throughput at transfer stations and recycling facilities. Growth capital expenditures also include those outlays associated with acquiring landfill operating leases, which do not meet the operating lease payment definition, but which were included as a commitment in the successful bid. Maintenance capital expenditures are defined as landfill cell construction costs not related to expansion airspace, costs for normal permit renewals and replacement costs for equipment due to age or obsolescence.

The Company had a net working capital deficit of \$22.7 million and \$23.2 million at July 31, 2006 and April 30, 2006, respectively. Net working capital comprises current assets, excluding cash and cash equivalents, minus current liabilities. At July 31, 2006, higher trade receivables associated with higher revenues were offset by higher accrued interest associated primarily with the Company's senior notes.

On April 29, 2005, the Company entered into a senior credit facility with a group of banks for which Bank of America is acting as agent. The facility consists of a senior secured revolving credit facility in the amount of \$350.0 million. This credit facility is secured by all of the Company's assets, including the Company's interest in the equity securities of the Company's subsidiaries. The revolving credit facility matures April 2010.

The Company completed an amendment to the credit facility agreement on June 2, 2006. This amended the capital expenditure covenant to 2.00X depreciation and landfill amortization effective April 30, 2006. The minimum interest coverage ratio was amended and will decrease from a ratio of 2.75 to 2.50 for the period July 31, 2007 through July 31, 2008. The maximum consolidated total funded debt to consolidated EBITDA ratio increased to 5.25 effective July 31, 2006 through April 30, 2007; reducing to 5.00 from July 31, 2007 through April 30, 2008 and 4.75 thereafter. In the event that all of the Company's Series A Preferred Stock are converted into common stock, the consolidated total funded debt to consolidated EBITDA ratio increased to 3.35 effective July 31, 2006 through April 30, 2007 and 3.25 thereafter. The Company was in compliance with all covenants at July 31, 2006.

On July 25, 2006 the Company amended its existing senior credit facility utilizing the accordion feature to provide for an additional \$100.0 million of borrowing capacity in the form of an increase of \$10.0 million in the revolving facility, under terms consistent with existing credit facility, and a senior secured term B loan in the principal amount of \$90.0 million. The proceeds from the issuance of the term B loan were utilized to repay outstanding revolver borrowings under the credit facility. The term B loan matures on April 28, 2010 and bears interest at LIBOR plus 2.00%, with annual principal payments of \$0.9 million for three years, beginning July 31, 2007, with the remaining principal balance due at maturity. The interest rate drops to LIBOR plus 1.75% after the first six months, as long as the consolidated total funded debt to consolidated EBITDA ratio is below 4.75 times.

Further advances were available under the new revolver in the amount of \$155.6 million and \$65.4 million as of July 31, 2006 and April 30, 2006, respectively. These available amounts are net of outstanding irrevocable letters of credit totaling \$58.4 million and \$57.7 million as of July 31, 2006 and April 30, 2006, respectively, at which dates no amounts had been drawn.

The Company is party to three separate interest rate swap agreements with three banks for a notional amount of \$75.0 million, which effectively fix the interest index rate on the entire notional amount at 4.4% from May 4, 2006 through May 5, 2008. These agreements are specifically designated to interest payments under

the Company's term B loan and are accounted for as effective cash flow hedges pursuant to SFAS No. 133.

On August 1, 2006, the Company entered into three separate interest rate zero-cost collars ("Collars") for a notional amount of \$80.0 million. The Collars have an interest index rate cap of 6.00% and an interest index rate floor of approximately 4.48% and will be effective from November 6, 2006 through May 5, 2009. These agreements will be specifically designated to interest payments under the revolving credit facility and will be accounted for as effective cash flow hedges pursuant to SFAS No. 133.

As of July 31, 2006, the Company had outstanding \$195.0 million of 9.75% senior subordinated notes (the "Notes") which mature in January 2013. The senior subordinated note agreement contains covenants that restrict dividends, stock repurchases and other payments, and limits the incurrence of debt and issuance of preferred stock. The Notes are guaranteed jointly and severally, fully and unconditionally by the Company's significant wholly-owned subsidiaries.

On December 28, 2005, the Company completed a \$25.0 million financing transaction involving the issuance by the Finance Authority of Maine (the "Authority") of \$25.0 million aggregate principal amount of its Solid Waste Disposal Revenue Bonds Series 2005 (the "Bonds"). The Bonds are issued pursuant to an indenture, dated as of December 1, 2005 (the "Indenture") and are enhanced by an irrevocable, transferable direct-pay letter of credit issued by Bank of America, N.A. Pursuant to a Financing Agreement, dated as of December 1, 2005, the Company has borrowed the proceeds of the Bonds to pay for certain costs relating to equipment acquisition for solid waste collection and transportation services, all located in Maine. At July 31, 2006, remaining issuance proceeds of \$1.1 million were recorded as restricted cash to be used to pay for the further capital costs in Maine as they are incurred.

Net cash provided by operating activities amounted to \$18.4 million for the three months ended July 31, 2006 compared to \$22.6 million for the same period of the prior fiscal year. A decrease in net income of \$3.2 million in the three months ended July 31, 2006 compared to the three months ended July 31, 2005 was offset by higher depreciation and amortization expense of \$1.8 million and higher depletion of landfill lease obligations of \$0.5 million for the three months ended July 31, 2006 compared to the same period of the prior fiscal year. Depreciation expense increased by \$1.1 million for the three months ended July 31, 2006 compared to the prior year comparable period due to the roll over effect of capital additions. Landfill amortization expense increased by \$0.8 million primarily due to the startup of the Colebrook closure project and the Chemung County landfill, partially offset by a decrease in the South Eastern region due to lower volumes at the Worcester closure project. Deferred taxes decreased \$2.9 million for the three months ended July 31, 2006. Changes in assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions and divestitures, was unchanged from the prior year. The decrease in accounts payable during the three months ended July 31, 2006, amounted to a \$0.1 million reduction compared with an increase of \$2.0 million in the prior year comparable period. The decrease is due primarily to lower accounts payable at July 31, 2006 versus the prior year related to timing of capital expenditures. Changes in other assets and liabilities increased \$2.1 million from the prior year due primarily to the following: (1) lower payroll accruals at April 30, 2006 associated with year end bonus accruals amounting to a \$3.2 million increase, (2) higher accruals in the quarter ended July 31, 2006 associated with various capital projects resulting in a \$2.7 million increase partially offset by (3) lower interest accruals amounting to \$1.3 million in the current period associated with the timing of borrowings as well as

Net cash used in investing activities was \$28.6 million for the three months ended July 31, 2006 compared to \$35.5 million used in investing activities in the same period of the prior fiscal year. The decrease in cash

used in investing activities was due to \$4.4 million in funds becoming available from escrow associated with the Company's revenue bonds as well as lower capital expenditures amounting to \$2.3 million in the current year.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$9.5 million for the three months ended July 31, 2006 compared to \$11.0 million in the same period of the prior fiscal year. The decrease in cash provided by financing activities is primarily due to lower net borrowings in the current period. The term B loan proceeds were used to pay down the revolver for no net change in total borrowings.

The Company generally meets liquidity needs from operating cash flow and its senior credit facility. These liquidity needs are primarily for capital expenditures for vehicles, containers and landfill development, debt service costs and capping, closure and post-closure expenditures. It is the Company's intention to continue to grow organically and through acquisitions.

The Company's leverage may further increase as the Company will be required to redeem its outstanding Series A redeemable preferred stock on August 11, 2007, if it is not otherwise repurchased by the Company prior to that time. The aggregate redemption price is expected to be approximately \$75.1 million. The Company would need to incur more debt or raise equity to affect this redemption.

The Company has filed a universal shelf registration statement with the SEC. The Company could from time to time issue securities thereunder in an amount of up to \$250.0 million. However, the Company's ability and willingness to issue securities pursuant to this registration statement will depend on market conditions at the time of any such desired offering and therefore the Company may not be able to issue such securities on favorable terms, if at all.

#### **Inflation and Prevailing Economic Conditions**

To date, inflation has not had a significant impact on the Company's operations. Consistent with industry practice, most of the Company's contracts provide for a pass-through of certain costs, including increases in landfill tipping fees and, in some cases, fuel costs. The Company therefore believes it should be able to implement price increases sufficient to offset most cost increases resulting from inflation. However, competitive factors may require the Company to absorb at least a portion of these cost increases, particularly during periods of high inflation.

The Company's business is located mainly in the eastern United States. Therefore, the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations are susceptible to downturns in the general economy in this geographic region and other factors affecting the region, such as state regulations and severe weather conditions. The Company is unable to forecast or determine the timing and /or the future impact of a sustained economic slowdown.

#### ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

#### Interest rate volatility

The Company had interest rate risk relating to approximately \$186.0 million of long-term debt at July 31, 2006. The interest rate on the variable rate portion of long-term debt was approximately 6.95% at July 31, 2006. Should the average interest rate on the variable rate portion of long-term debt change by 100 basis points, it would have an approximate interest expense change of \$0.5 million for the quarter reported.

The remainder of the Company's long-term debt is at fixed rates and not subject to interest rate risk.

#### Commodity price volatility

The Company is subject to commodity price fluctuations related to the portion of its sales of recyclable commodities that are not under floor or flat pricing arrangements. As of July 31, 2006, to minimize the Company's commodity exposure, the Company was party to thirty-four commodity hedging agreements. If commodity prices were to have changed by 10% in the quarter ended July 31, 2006, the impact on the Company's operating income is estimated at \$1.3 million, without considering the Company's hedging agreements. The effect of the hedge position would reduce the impact by approximately \$0.2 million. The Company does not use financial instruments for trading purposes and is not a party to any leveraged derivatives.

#### ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

- a) Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures. The Company's management, with the participation of its chief executive officer and chief financial officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of July 31, 2006. The term "disclosure controls and procedures," as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"), means controls and other procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the company's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

  Management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives and management necessarily applies its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Based on the evaluation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.
- b) Changes in internal controls. No change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) occurred during the fiscal quarter ended July 31, 2006 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

#### PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

#### ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The New Hampshire Superior Court in Grafton County, NH (the "Superior Court") ruled on February 1, 1999 that the Town of Bethlehem, NH (the "Town") could not enforce an ordinance purportedly prohibiting expansion of the Company's landfill owned by its subsidiary North Country Environmental Services, Inc. ("NCES"), at least with respect to 51 acres of NCES's 105 acre parcel, based upon certain existing land-use approvals. As a result, NCES was able to construct and operate "Stage II, Phase II" of the landfill. In May 2001, the New Hampshire Supreme Court (the "Supreme Court") denied the Town's appeal. Notwithstanding the Supreme Court's 2001 ruling, the Town continued to assert jurisdiction to conduct unqualified site plan review with respect to Stage III (which is within the 51 acres) and further stated that the Town's height ordinance and building permit process may apply to Stage III. On September 12, 2001, the Company filed a petition for, among other things, declaratory relief. On December 4, 2001, the Town filed an answer to the Company's petition asserting counterclaims seeking, among other things, authorization to assert site plan review over Stage III, which commenced operation in December 2000, as well as the methane gas utilization/leachate handling facility operating in connection with Stage III, and also an order declaring that an ordinance prohibiting landfills applies to Stage IV expansion. The trial on these claims was held in December 2002 and on April 24, 2003, the Grafton Superior Court upheld the Town's 1992 ordinance preventing the location or expansion of any landfill, ruling that the ordinance may be applied to any part of Stage IV that goes beyond the 51 acres; ruling that the Town's height ordinance is valid within the 51 acres; upholding the Town's right to require Site Plan Review, except that there are certain areas within the Town's Site Plan Review regulation that are preempted; and ruling that the methane gas utilization/leachate handling facility is not subject to the Town's ordinance forbidding incinerators. On May 27, 2003, NCES appealed the Superior Court's ruling to the Supreme Court. On March 1, 2004, the Supreme Court issued an opinion affirming that NCES has all of the local approvals that it needs to operate within the 51 acres and that the Town cannot therefore require site plan review for landfill development within the 51 acres. The Supreme Court's opinion left open for further review the question of whether the Town's 1992 ordinance can prevent expansion of the facility outside the 51 acres, remanding to the Superior Court four issues, including two defenses raised by NCES as grounds for invalidating the 1992 ordinance. On April 19, 2005, the Superior Court judge granted NCES' motion for partial summary judgment, ruling that the 1992 ordinance is invalid because it distinguishes between "users" of land rather than "uses" of land, and that a state statute preempts the Town's ability to issue a building permit for the methane gas utilization/leachate handling facility to the extent the Town's regulations relate to design, installation, construction, modification or operation. After this ruling, the Town amended its counterclaim to request a declaration that another zoning ordinance it enacted in March of 2005 is lawful and prevents the expansion of the landfill outside of the 51 acres. In the fall of 2005 NCES and the Town engaged in private mediation in an effort to resolve the disputes between them, but the mediation was unsuccessful. NCES filed a motion with the court on December 15, 2005 for partial summary judgment asserting six different arguments challenging the lawfulness of the March 2005 amendment to the zoning ordinance, and the town filed a cross-motion on January 13, 2006 for partial summary judgment on the same issue. On February 13, 2006, NCES filed its objection with the Grafton Superior Court to the Town's cross-motion for summary judgment. In April 2006, the court ruled against NCES on the applicability of all six arguments challenging the lawfulness of the March 2005 ordinance and NCES filed a motion for reconsideration. On May 30, 2006, the judge issued a ruling on the motion for reconsideration, reversing her prior ruling with respect to two of the six arguments she ruled earlier to be invalid, thereby preserving such arguments for trial. Additionally, several issues related to the March 2005 amendment that were not the subject of such motions remain to be decided by a trial, in addition to the issues remanded by the Supreme Court, which include whether the Town can impose site plan review requirements outside the 51 acres, and whether the 1992 ordinance contravenes the general welfare of the community. On June 6, 2006, the Town rejected a settlement proposal from NCES at a special town meeting. A conference

was held on June 30, 2006 with the judge to establish a discovery schedule and a trial date has been set for the second quarter of calendar year 2007.

The Company offers no prediction of the outcome of these proceedings. However, there can be no guarantee that the Company will prevail or that any judgments against the Company, if sustained on appeal, will not have a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition or results of operations.

On March 2, 2005, the Company's subsidiary Casella Waste Management of Pennsylvania, Inc. ("CWMPA") was issued an Administrative Order by the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection ("DEP") revoking CWMPA's transfer station permit for its 75-ton-per-day transfer station located in Wellsboro, Pennsylvania and ordering that the site be closed. The DEP based its decision on certain alleged violations related to recordkeeping and site management over a five-year period. On March 10, 2005, CWMPA appealed the Order to the State's Environmental Hearing Board ("EHB"). The Pennsylvania Attorney General's Office also conducted a criminal investigation of the allegations. On March 17, 2005, CWMPA and the DEP mutually agreed to a Supersedeas Order approved by the EHB which superseded the March 2, 2005 DEP Order, stating that CWMPA agreed to (i) voluntarily cease operations at the transfer station until May 16, 2005; (ii) relocate its hauling company before May 16, 2005; and (iii) develop a Management and Operation Plan for the transfer station by May 16, 2005. On May 17, 2005, the EHB judge extended the Supersedeas Order until June 10, 2005 and authorized the transfer station to resume operations upon completion of the relocation of the hauling company and receipt of a permit modification related to the weighing of bag waste from individual customers. CWMPA satisfied the conditions and recommenced operations at the transfer station on May 20, 2005. On June 9, 2005, CWMPA and the DEP filed a stipulation with the EHB withdrawing and voiding the March 2, 2005 Order revoking the permit, while reserving the DEP's right to seek civil penalties and the Company's right to defend against any such penalties. On March 9, 2006, the Company reached an agreement with the Attorney General's Office that resolved its investigation with a misdemeanor fine in the amount of \$35,000 plus a \$15,000 contribution to a non-profit environmental organization. The Company also reached a settlement with the DEP whereby the Company agreed t

The Company is a defendant in certain other lawsuits alleging various claims incurred in the ordinary course of business, none of which, either individually or in the aggregate, it believes are material to its business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

#### ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

See the Company's risk factors as previously disclosed in its Form 10-K for the year ended April 30, 2006.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

None.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None

#### ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

The exhibits that are filed as part of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q or that are incorporated by reference herein are set forth in the Exhibit Index hereto.

#### SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Casella Waste Systems, Inc.

Date: September 8, 2006

By: /s/ Richard A. Norris
Richard A. Norris
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting
Officer and Duly Authorized Officer)

#### **Exhibit Index**

- 10.1 First Amendment to Amended and Restated Revolving Credit Agreement by and Among the Company, the Borrowers, the Lenders, and Bank of America, N.A. as Administrative Agent, Swingline Lender and L/C Issuer dated June 2, 2006 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's current report on Form 8-K filed June 8, 2006 (file no. 000-23211)).
- 10.2† Written Description of Cash Bonus Plan for Executive Officers approved by the Compensation Committee June 15, 2006.
- 10.3† Conforming Amendment to the Amended and Restated Revolving Credit Agreement by and among the Company, the Borrowers, the Lenders, and Bank of America, N.A. as Administrative Agent, Swingline Lender and L/C Issuer dated July 25, 2006.
- 31.1† Certification of John W. Casella, Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15(d)–14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2† Certification of Richard A. Norris, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15(d)-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1†† Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. S 1350 of John W. Casella, Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2†† Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. S 1350 of Richard A. Norris, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002.
- † Filed herewith
- †† Furnished herewith

## Written Description of Cash Bonus Plan for Executive Officers

On June 15, 2006, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of Casella Waste Systems, Inc. (the "Company") approved a cash bonus plan for the executive officers of the Company for fiscal 2007 (the "Bonus Plan"). The Bonus Plan provides for the payment of a cash bonus based on the Company's EBITDA, return on net assets and earnings per share for the fiscal year ending April 30, 2007. An aggregate of 40% of the bonus will be paid upon the achievement of target EBITDA levels; 10% upon the achievement of specific personal goals; 25% will be paid upon the achievement of target earnings per share; and 25% will be paid upon the achievement of target return on net asset levels. A greater or lesser amount may be paid in the event the targets are exceeded or not met.

## CONFORMING AMENDMENT TO AMENDED AND RESTATED REVOLVING CREDIT AGREEMENT

This **CONFORMING AMENDMENT TO AMENDED AND RESTATED REVOLVING CREDIT AGREEMENT** (this "Conforming Amendment") is made and entered into as of the 25th day of July, 2006, by and among **CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC.**, a Delaware corporation (the "Parent"), its Subsidiaries (other than Excluded Subsidiaries and the Non-Borrower Subsidiaries) listed on <u>Schedule 1</u> to the Amended and Restated Revolving Credit Agreement dated as of April 28, 2005, (as the same may be amended and in effect from time to time, the "<u>Credit Agreement</u>") (together with the Parent, collectively the "<u>Borrowers</u>"), the lenders wishing to advance a portion of the Term B Loan pursuant to Section 2.14 of the Credit Agreement (collectively, the "<u>Term B Lenders</u>" and, individually, a "<u>Term B Lender</u>"), and **BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.**, as Administrative Agent, Swing Line Lender and L/C Issuer.

WHEREAS, the Borrowers have requested a Term B Loan in the principal amount of \$90,000,000 and an increase of \$10,000,000 to the Commitment amount; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 2.14(b)(v) of the Credit Agreement, certain conforming changes to the Credit Agreement are set forth herein in order to effect the addition of the Term B Loan;

**NOW, THEREFORE**, in consideration of the foregoing, and for other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, the parties agree as follows:

- 1. **Definitions.** Capitalized terms used herein without definition shall have the meaning assigned to such terms in the Credit Agreement.
- 2. Amendments to Section 1.01 of the Credit Agreement.
- (a) Section 1.01 of the Credit Agreement is hereby amended by inserting at the end of the first full paragraph following the table contained in the definition of "Applicable Rate" the following sentence

"During the period commencing from the Term B Loan Date until the date on which the Borrowers deliver to the Administrative Agent a Compliance Certificate for the second full fiscal quarter ending after such Term B Loan Date, the Applicable Rate for the Term B Loan shall be the Applicable Rate set forth in Level VI in the table above."

(b) Section 1.01 of the Credit Agreement is hereby amended by inserting the rates applicable to Term B Loans by adding two new columns under the heading "Term B" to the existing table contained in the definition of "Applicable Rate", so that the amended table shall appear as follows:

Applicable Rate										
	Ratio of Consolidated Total Funded Debt to Consolidated EBITDA		Committed Loans	Term B Loans						
Level		Base Rate Loans	Eurodollar Rate Loans	Commitment Fee	Base Rate Loans	Eurodollar Rate Loans				
I	Less than 2.75:1.0	0.00%	1.50%	0.375%	0.50%	1.75%				
II	Greater than or equal to 2.75:1.0 and less than 3.25:1.0	0.00%	1.75%	0.375%	0.50%	1.75%				
Ш	Greater than or equal to 3.25:1.0 and less than 3.75:1.0	0.25%	2.00%	0.500%	0.50%	1.75%				
IV	Greater than or equal to 3.75:1.0 and less than 4.25:1.0	0.50%	2.25%	0.500%	0.50%	1.75%				
V	Greater than or equal to 4.25:1.0 and less than 4.75:1.0	0.50%	2.50%	0.500%	0.50%	1.75%				
VI	Greater than or equal to 4.75:1.00	0.50%	2.75%	0.500%	0.50%	2.00%				

- (c) The definition of the term "Interest Period" in Section 1.01 of the Credit Agreement is hereby amended by inserting after the words "Committed Loan Notice" the following words: "or Term B Loan Notice, as the case may be"; and
  - (d) The definition of the term "Request for Credit Extension" in Section 1.01 of the Credit Agreement is hereby amended by:
  - (i) inserting in subsection (a) after the words "Committed Loan Notice" the following words "or Term B Loan Notice, as the case may be"; and
    - (ii) deleting in subsection (a) the word "Committed" before the word "Loans".
- 3. Amendments to Section 2.07 of the Credit Agreement. Section 2.07 of the Credit Agreement is hereby amended by inserting the following new subsection (c):

- "(c) The Borrower shall repay to the Term B Lenders the principal amount of the Term B Loan in three (3) consecutive annual installment payments, each such payment equal to one percent (1%) of the original principal amount of the Term B Loan, which are due and payable on the first, second and third anniversary of the Term B Loan Date, with a final balloon payment on the Maturity Date in an amount equal to the unpaid balance of the Term B Loan plus accrued and unpaid interest."
- **4. Amendments to Section 2.08 of the Credit Agreement**. Section 2.08(a) of the Credit Agreement is hereby amended by deleting the word "Committed" found in subsections (a)(i) and (a)(ii) therein.
- 5. Amendments to Section 3.03 of the Credit Agreement. Section 3.03 of the Credit Agreement is hereby amended by deleting the word "Committed" before the word "Borrowing" in the last sentence of such Section 3.03.
- 6. Amendments to Section 10.06 of the Credit Agreement. Section 10.06(b)(i) of the Credit Agreement is hereby amended by inserting the parenthetical "(or \$1,000,000, in the case of a Term B Lender)" after the following dollar amount "\$5,000,000".
- 7. Amendments to Schedule 2.01 of the Credit Agreement. Schedule 2.01 of the Credit Agreement is hereby amended by deleting such Schedule in its entirety and substituting in lieu thereof Schedule 2.01 as set forth on Schedule A attached hereto. Such Schedule 2.01 shall reflect an increase in the Commitment of any Revolving Lender in the total amount of \$10,000,000.
- 8. No Waiver. Except as a result of the amendments set forth in §§ 2 through 6 of this Conforming Amendment, nothing contained herein shall be deemed to (i) constitute a waiver of any Default or Event of Default that may heretofore or hereafter occur or have occurred and be continuing or to otherwise modify any provision of the Credit Agreement, or (ii) give raise to any defenses or counterclaims to the Administrative Agent's or any of the Lenders' right to compel payment of the Obligations when due or to otherwise enforce their respective rights and remedies under the Credit Agreement and the other Loan Documents.
- 9. Conditions to Effectiveness. This Conforming Amendment shall become effective as of the date (the "Term B Loan Date") when each of the following conditions is met:
- (a) receipt by the Administrative Agent of this Conforming Amendment duly and properly authorized, executed and delivered by each of the respective parties hereto;
- (b) receipt by the Administrative Agent of payment in cash of the fees in the amounts specified in the Fee Letter dated June , 2006, by and between the Borrowers, the Administrative Agent and the Arranger;
- (c) payment of all of the Administrative Agent's reasonable legal fees and expenses incurred in connection with the preparation and negotiation of this Conforming Amendment;

(d)	receipt by the Adminis	trative Agent of a certi	ficate dated as of the	Term B Loan Date sign	ned by a Responsible	Officer of the Parent
certifying and attaching t	he resolutions adopted	y each of the Borrowe	rs authorizing the Bo	rrower to enter into an	d approving the Term	n B Loan;

- (e) receipt by the Administrative Agent of a certificate dated as of the Term B Loan Date signed by a Responsible Officer of the Parent certifying that before and after giving effect to the Term B Loan, (i) the applicable conditions set forth in Sections 4.02(a) and (b) of the Credit Agreement will be satisfied and (ii)(A) the Term B Loan is permitted senior Indebtedness under the existing Senior Subordinated Debt Documents and (B) no default under the existing Senior Subordinated Debt Documents has occurred and is continuing or would result after giving effect to the transactions contemplated by the Loans; and
- (f) receipt by the Administrative Agent, upon the request of any Lender, of a Note evidencing such Lender's portion of the Term B Loan or any increase in its Commitment duly and properly authorized, executed and delivered by the Borrowers.

#### 10. Representations and Warranties. The Borrowers represent and warrant to the Administrative Agent and the Lenders as follows:

- (a) The execution, delivery and performance of this Conforming Amendment and the transactions contemplated hereby (i) are within the corporate (or the equivalent company or partnership) authority of each of the Borrowers, (ii) have been duly authorized by all necessary corporate (or other) proceedings, (iii) do not conflict with or result in any material breach or contravention of any provision of law, statute, rule or regulation to which any of the Borrowers is subject or any judgment, order, writ, injunction, license or permit applicable to any of the Borrowers so as to materially adversely affect the assets, business or any activity of the Borrowers, and (iv) do not conflict with any provision of the corporate charter, articles or bylaws (or equivalent other company or partnership documents) of the Borrowers or any agreement or other instrument binding upon the Borrowers, including, without limitation, the Indenture.
- (b) The execution, delivery and performance of this Conforming Amendment will result in valid and legally binding obligations of the Borrowers enforceable against each in accordance with the respective terms and provisions hereof and thereof, except as enforceability is limited by bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, moratorium or other laws relating to or affecting generally the enforcement of creditors' rights and except to the extent that availability of the remedy of specific performance or injunctive relief or other equitable remedy is subject to the discretion of the court before which any proceeding therefor may be brought.
- (c) The execution, delivery and performance by the Borrowers of this Conforming Amendment and the transactions contemplated hereby do not require any approval or consent of, or filing with, any governmental agency or authority other than those already obtained, if any.
- (d) The representations and warranties contained in Article V of the Credit Agreement are true and correct in all material respects as of the date hereof as though made on and as of the date hereof, except to the extent that such representations and warranties

specifically refer to an earlier date, in which case they shall be true and correct as of such earlier date and except to the extent of changes resulting from transactions contemplated or permitted by this Agreement (as amended by the Conforming Amendment) and changes occurring in the ordinary course of business which singly or in the aggregate do not have a Material Adverse Effect. For purposes of this Section 10(d), the representations and warranties contained in Section 5.05(a) of the Credit Agreement shall be deemed to refer to the most recent statements furnished pursuant to Section 6.04(a) of the Credit Agreement.

- (e) After giving effect to this Conforming Amendment, no Default or Event of Default under the Credit Agreement has occurred and is continuing.
- 11. Ratification, etc. Except as expressly amended hereby, the Credit Agreement, the other Loan Documents and all documents, instruments and agreements related thereto are hereby ratified and confirmed in all respects and shall continue in full force and effect. This Conforming Amendment and the Credit Agreement shall hereafter be read and construed together as a single document, and all references in the Credit Agreement, any other Loan Document or any agreement or instrument related to the Credit Agreement shall hereafter refer to the Credit Agreement as amended by this Conforming Amendment.

#### 12. Agreement of Term B Lenders.

- (a) Subject to the terms and conditions of this Conforming Amendment, each Term B Lender hereby agrees to fund, without recourse to the Lenders or the Administrative Agent, on the Term B Loan Date, that portion of the Term B Loan equal to the amount set forth on Schedule A attached hereto opposite its name, in accordance with the terms and conditions set forth herein and in the Credit Agreement. Each Term B Lender, if not a Lender party to the Credit Agreement immediately prior to giving effect to this Conforming Amendment, hereby agrees to be bound by, and hereby requests the agreement of the Borrowers and the Administrative Agent that each Term B Lender shall be entitled to the benefits of, all of the terms, conditions and provisions of the Credit Agreement as if such Term B Lender had been one of the lending institutions originally executing the Credit Agreement as a "Lender"; provided that nothing herein shall be construed as making any of the Term B Lenders liable to the Borrowers or the other Lenders in respect of any acts or omissions of any party to the Credit Agreement or in respect of any other event occurring prior to the Term B Loan Date.
- (ii) the execution, delivery and performance of this Conforming Amendment does not conflict with any provision of law or of the charter or by-laws of such Term B Lender, or of any agreement binding on such Term B Lender, (iii) all acts, conditions and things required to be done and performed and to have occurred prior to the execution, delivery and performance of this Conforming Amendment, and to render the same the legal, valid and binding obligation of such Term B Lender, enforceable against it in accordance with its terms, have been done and performed and have occurred in due and strict compliance with all applicable laws; (b) confirms that it has received a copy of the Credit Agreement, together with copies of the most recent financial statements delivered pursuant to Section 6.04 of the Credit Agreement and such other documents and information as it has deemed appropriate to make its own credit analysis and decision to enter into this

Conforming Amendment; (c) agrees that it will, independently and without reliance upon the Lenders or the Administrative Agent and based on such documents and information as it shall deem appropriate at the time, continue to make its own credit decisions in taking or not taking action under the Credit Agreement; (d) represents and warrants that it is eligible to become a party to this Conforming Amendment under the terms and conditions of the Credit Agreement; (e) appoints and authorizes the Administrative Agent to take such action as Administrative Agent on its behalf and to exercise such powers under the Credit Agreement and the other Loan Documents as are delegated to the Administrative Agent by the terms thereof, together with such powers as are reasonably incidental thereto; (f) agrees that it will perform in accordance with their terms all of the obligations which by the terms of the Credit Agreement are required to be performed by it as a Lender.

- 13. Payments to Term B Lenders. From and after the Term B Loan Date, the Borrowers shall make all payments in respect of the Term B Lenders' portion of the Term B Loan, including payments of principal, interest, fees and other amounts, to the Administrative Agent for the account of each of the Term B Lenders.
- 14. Governing Law. THIS CONFORMING AMENDMENT SHALL BE GOVERNED BY AND CONSTRUED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LAWS OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS.
- 15. Counterparts. This Conforming Amendment may be executed in any number of counterparts and by different parties hereto on separate counterparts, each of which when so executed and delivered shall be an original, but all of which counterparts taken together shall be deemed to constitute one and the same instrument. Delivery of an executed counterpart of a signature page of this Conforming Amendment by telecopy shall be as effective as delivery of an original executed counterpart of this Conforming Amendment.
- **16. Copy of Conformed Credit Agreement.** A copy of the conformed Credit Agreement incorporating the First Amendment to the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of June 2, 2006 and this Conforming Amendment is attached hereto as <u>Exhibit A</u>.
  - 17. Term B Loan Notice. Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a Form of Term B Loan Notice.

[REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

**IN WITNESS WHEREOF**, each of the undersigned has duly executed this Conforming Amendment to Amended and Restated Revolving Credit Agreement as a sealed instrument as of the date first set forth above.

#### **BORROWERS:**

CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC.

By: /s/ Richard A. Norris

Name: Richard A. Norris
Title: Senior Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer

ALL CYCLE WASTE, INC. ATLANTIC COAST FIBERS, INC. B. AND C. SANITATION CORPORATION BLASDELL DEVELOPMENT GROUP, INC. BRISTOL WASTE MANAGEMENT, INC. CASELLA TRANSPORTATION, INC. CASELLA WASTE MANAGEMENT OF CAPE COD, INC. CASELLA WASTE MANAGEMENT OF HOLLISTON, INC. CASELLA WASTE MANAGEMENT OF MASSACHUSETTS, INC. CASELLA WASTE MANAGEMENT OF N.Y., INC. CASELLA WASTE MANAGEMENT OF PENNSYLVANIA, INC. CASELLA WASTE MANAGEMENT, INC. C.V. LANDFILL, INC. FOREST ACQUISITIONS, INC. GRASSLANDS, INC. HAKES C & D DISPOSAL, INC.

By: /s/ Richard A. Norris

HARDWICK LANDFILL, INC.

Name: Richard A. Norris

Title: Vice President and Treasurer

[SIGNATURES CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE]

HIRAM HOLLOW REGENERATION CORP.

K-C INTERNATIONAL, LTD.

KTI BIO-FUELS, INC.

KTI ENVIRONMENTAL GROUP, INC.

KTI NEW JERSEY FIBERS, INC.

KTI OPERATIONS, INC.

KTI SPECIALTY WASTE SERVICES, INC.

KTI, INC.

MECKLENBURG COUNTY RECYCLING, INC.

NATURAL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.

NEW ENGLAND WASTE SERVICES OF

MASSACHUSETTS, INC.

NEW ENGLAND WASTE SERVICES OF ME, INC.

NEW ENGLAND WASTE SERVICES OF N.Y., INC.

NEW ENGLAND WASTE SERVICES OF

VERMONT, INC.

NEW ENGLAND WASTE SERVICES, INC.

NEWBURY WASTE MANAGEMENT, INC.

NORTH COUNTRY ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES,

INC

NORTHERN PROPERTIES CORPORATION OF

PLATTSBURGH

NORTHERN SANITATION, INC.

PERC, INC.

PINE TREE WASTE, INC.

R.A BRONSON, INC.

RESOURCE RECOVERY SYSTEMS OF

SARASOTA, INC.

RESOURCE TRANSFER SERVICES, INC.

RESOURCE WASTE SYSTEMS, INC.

SCHULTZ LANDFILL, INC.

SOUTHBRIDGE RECYCLING & DISPOSAL PARK,

INC.

SUNDERLAND WASTE MANAGEMENT, INC.

WASTE-STREAM, INC.

WESTFIELD DISPOSAL SERVICES, INC

WINTERS BROTHERS, INC.

By: /s/ Richard A. Norris

Name: Richard A. Norris

Title: Vice President and Treasurer

[SIGNATURES CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE]

## CASELLA RTG INVESTORS CO., LLC

By: Casella Waste Systems, Inc., its sole member

By: /s/ Richard A. Norris

Name: Richard A. Norris

Title: Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

#### THE HYLAND FACILITY ASSOCIATES

By: /s/ Richard A. Norris

Name: Richard A. Norris
Title: Duly Authorized Agent

## MAINE ENERGY RECOVERY COMPANY, LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

By: KTI Environmental Group, Inc., its general

partner

By: /s/ Richard A. Norris

Name: Richard A. Norris

Title: Vice President and Treasurer

[SIGNATURES CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE]

## PERC MANAGEMENT COMPANY, Limited Partnership

By: PERC, Inc., its general partner

By: /s/ Richard A. Norris

Name: Richard A. Norris

Title: Vice President and Treasurer

#### ROCHESTER ENVIRONMENTAL PARK LLC

By: /s/ Richard A. Norris

Name: Richard A. Norris

Title: Vice President and Treasurer

## CWM ALL WASTE LLC

By: /s/ Richard A. Norris

Name: Richard A. Norris
Title: Duly Authorized Agent

## $GROUNDCO\,LLC$

By: /s/ Richard A. Norris

Name: Richard A. Norris
Title: Duly Authorized Agent

[SIGNATURES CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE]

## NEWSME LANDFILL OPERATIONS LLC

By: /s/ Richard A. Norris

Name: Richard A. Norris Title: **Duly Authorized Agent** 

#### ROCKINGHAM SAND & GRAVEL, LLC

By: /s/ Richard A. Norris

Name: Richard A. Norris Title: Duly Authorized Agent

## TEMPLETON LANDFILL LLC

By: /s/ Richard A. Norris

Richard A. Norris Name: Title: Duly Authorized Agent

#### CASELLA MAJOR ACCOUNT SERVICES LLC

By: Casella Waste Systems, Inc., its

sole member

/s/ Richard A. Norris

Richard A. Norris Name:

Senior Vice President and Title:

Chief Financial Officer

[SIGNATURES CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE]

## CASELLA WASTE SERVICES OF ONTARIO LLC

By: New England Waste Services of

N.Y., Inc., its sole member

By: /s/ Richard A. Norris

Name: Richard A. Norris

Title: Vice President and Treasurer

#### NEWS OF WORCESTER LLC

By: Casella Waste systems, Inc., its

sole member

By: /s/ Richard A. Norris

Name: Richard A. Norris

Title: Senior Vice President and

Chief Financial Officer

## TRILOGY GLASS LLC

By: New England Waste Services of

N.Y., Inc., its sole member

By: /s/ Richard A. Norris

Name: Richard A. Norris

Title: Vice President and Treasurer

## BLUE MOUNTAIN RECYCLING, LLC

By: FCR, LLC, its manager

By: /s/ Richard A. Norris

Name: Richard A. Norris

Title: Vice President and Treasurer

[SIGNATURES CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE]

## CHEMUNG LANDFILL LLC

By: New England Waste Services of N.Y., Inc., its sole member

By: /s/ Richard A. Norris

Name: Richard A. Norris

Title: Vice President and Treasurer

#### COLEBROOK LANDFILL LLC

By: New England Waste Services, Inc., its sole

By: /s/ Richard A. Norris

Name: Richard A. Norris

Title: Vice President and Treasurer

#### LEWISTON LANDFILL LLC

By: New England Waste Services of ME, Inc., its sole member

By: /s/ Richard A. Norris

Name: Richard A. Norris

Title: Vice President and Treasurer

## FAIRFIELD COUNTY RECYCLING, LLC

By: /s/ Richard A. Norris

Name: Richard A. Norris

Title: Vice President and Treasurer

[SIGNATURES CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE]

## FCR CAMDEN, LLC

By: /s/ Richard A. Norris

Name: Richard A. Norris

Title: Vice President and Treasurer

FCR FLORIDA, LLC

By: /s/ Richard A. Norris

Name: Richard A. Norris

Title: Vice President and Treasurer

FCR GREENSBORO, LLC

By: /s/ Richard A. Norris

Name: Richard A. Norris

Title: Vice President and Treasurer

FCR GREENVILLE, LLC

By: /s/ Richard A. Norris

Name: Richard A. Norris

Title: Vice President and Treasurer

FCR MORRIS, LLC

By: /s/ Richard A. Norris

Name: Richard A. Norris

Title: Vice President and Treasurer

FCR REDEMPTION, LLC

By: /s/ Richard A. Norris

Name: Richard A. Norris

Title: Vice President and Treasurer

[SIGNATURES CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE]

## FCR TENNESSEE, LLC

By: /s/ Richard A. Norris

Name: Richard A. Norris

Title: Vice President and Treasurer

#### KTI RECYCLING OF NEW ENGLAND, LLC

By: /s/ Richard A. Norris

Name: Richard A. Norris

Title: Vice President and Treasurer

## RESOURCE RECOVERY SYSTEMS, LLC

By: /s/ Richard A. Norris

Name: Richard A. Norris

Title: Vice President and Treasurer

## U.S. FIBER, LLC

By: /s/ Richard A. Norris

Name: Richard A. Norris

Title: Vice President and Treasurer

## FCR, LLC

By: /s/ Richard A. Norris

Name: Richard A. Norris

Title: Vice President and Treasurer

[SIGNATURES CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE]

## $\hbox{NH INVESTORS CO., LLC}$

By: Casella NH Investors Co., LLC By: Casella NH Power Co., LLC

By: KTI, Inc.

By: /s/ Richard A. Norris

Name: Richard A. Norris
Title: Vice President and

Treasurer

[SIGNATURES CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE]

## RECOVERY TECHNOLOGIES OPERATIONS LLC

By: NH Investors Co., LLC

By: Casella NH Investors Co., LLC By: Casella NH Power Co., LLC

By: KTI, Inc.

By: /s/ Richard A. Norris

Name: Richard A. Norris Title: Vice President and

Treasurer

[SIGNATURES CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE]

## CASELLA NH INVESTORS CO. LLC

By: KTI, Inc., its sole member

By: /s/ Richard A. Norris

Name: Richard A. Norris

Title: Vice President and Treasurer

[SIGNATURES CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE]

## CASELLA NH POWER CO., LLC

By: KTI, Inc., its sole member

By: /s/ Richard A. Norris

Name: Richard A. Norris
Title: Vice President and Treasurer

# BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., as Administrative Agent

By: /s/ Maria F. Maia

Name: Maria F. Maia
Title: Managing Director

# BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., as a Term B Lender

By: /s/ Maria F. Maia

Name: Maria F. Maia
Title: Managing Director

#### **CERTIFICATIONS**

#### I, John W. Casella, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Casella Waste Systems, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
- a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
- b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
- c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
- d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
- a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
- b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: September 8, 2006

By: /s/ John W. Casella John W. Casella Chief Executive Officer

#### **CERTIFICATIONS**

#### I, Richard A. Norris, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Casella Waste Systems, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
- a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
- b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
- c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
- d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
- a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
- b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: September 8, 2006

By: /s/ Richard A. Norris Richard A. Norris Chief Financial Officer

## CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,

#### AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO

## SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Casella Waste Systems, Inc. (the "Company") for the period ended July 31, 2006 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), the undersigned, John W. Casella, Chief Executive Officer of the Company, hereby certifies, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, that, to his knowledge:

(1) the Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and

(2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: September 8, 2006

By: /s/ John W. Casella John W. Casella Chief Executive Officer

## CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,

#### AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO

## SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Casella Waste Systems, Inc. (the "Company") for the period ended July 31, 2006 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), the undersigned, Richard A. Norris, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, hereby certifies, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, that, to his knowledge:

(1) the Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and

(2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: September 8, 2006

By: /s/ Richard A. Norris Richard A. Norris Chief Financial Officer